

BEFORE THE  
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

NANPA, ) DOCKET NO.  
On Behalf of the Illinois ) 00-0677  
Telecommunications Industry )  
)  
Petition for Approval of )  
Numbering Plan Area Relief )  
Planning for the 618 Area Code. )

Springfield, Illinois  
February 14, 2002

Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 A.M.

BEFORE:

MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

MR. EDWARD BUTTS  
1800 Hawthorne Lane  
Room 102  
West Chicago, Illinois 60185  
E-mail: ebutts1000@aol.com

(Appearing on behalf of Illinois Bell  
Telephone Company, d/b/a Ameritech  
Illinois)

MR. MICHAEL GUERRA  
Sonnenschien, Nath & Rosenthal  
8000 Sears Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
Email: 5mg.sonnenschien.com

(Appearing on behalf of Verizon North,  
Inc. and Verizon South, Inc.)

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by  
Cheryl A. Davis, Reporter, #084-001662

1 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)

2 MR. JAMES HUTTENHOWER  
225 West Randolph Street  
3 Suite 25D  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

4 (Appearing on behalf of Ameritech  
5 Illinois)

6 MR. JOSEPH D. MURPHY  
306 West Church Street  
7 Champaign, Illinois 61820  
Email: jmurphy@meyeracapel.com

8 (Appearing on behalf of Cingular  
9 Wireless, Egyptian Telephone  
Cooperative Association, and Madison  
10 Telephone Company)

11 MS. RENDI L. MANN-STADT  
Hinshaw & Culbertson  
12 400 South Ninth Street  
Suite 200  
13 Springfield, Illinois 62701

14 (Appearing on behalf of Verizon  
15 Wireless)

MR. THOMAS R. STANTON  
16 160 North La Salle Street  
Suite C-800  
17 Chicago, Illinois 60601  
Email: tstanton@icc.state.il.us

18 (Appearing on behalf of the Staff of the  
19 Illinois Commerce Commission)

20

21

22

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)

2 MS. KIMBERLY D. MILLER  
3 1120 Vermont Avenue, N.W.  
4 Suite 400  
5 Washington, D.C. 20005

6 (Appearing on behalf of NeuStar, Inc.  
7 via teleconference)

8 MR. STEPHEN MOORE  
9 Rowland & Moore  
10 77 West Wacker Drive  
11 Suite 4600  
12 Chicago, Illinois 60601

13 (Appearing on behalf of First Cellular  
14 of Southern Illinois, Wabash Telephone  
15 Cooperative, Inc., Crossville  
16 Telephone Company, Hamilton County  
17 Telephone Coop, Shawnee Telephone  
18 Company, Inc., and Flat Rock Telephone  
19 Coop, Inc.

20 MR. GARY L. SMITH  
21 Loewenstein, Hagen, Oehlert & Smith, P.C.  
22 1204 South Fourth Street  
Springfield, Illinois 62703

23 (Appearing on behalf of Alhambra-  
24 Grantfork Telephone Company)

25 MR. TROY A. FODOR  
26 913 South Sixth Street  
27 Springfield, Illinois 62703  
28 Email: troyafodor@aol.com

29 (Appearing on behalf of  
30 Harrisonville Telephone Company, Home  
31 Telephone Company, and Grafton  
32 Telephone Company)

33

1 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)

2 MS. JULIE LUCAS  
3 208 South La Salle  
4 Suite 1760  
5 Chicago, Illinois 60604

6 (Appearing on behalf of the Citizens  
7 Utility Board via teleconference)

8 MR. TOM ADAMS  
9 Adams & Huetsch  
10 321 Wedgewood Square  
11 P.O. Box 647  
12 Columbia, Illinois

13 (Appearing on behalf of the City of  
14 Columbia via teleconference)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

		<u>I N D E X</u>			
	<u>WITNESSES</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	<u>RECROSS</u>
1					
2	PAUL MICHAEL ARNOLD				
3	By Mr. Fodor	188			
4	MICHAEL P. PETROUSKE				
	By Mr. Moore	192			
5	By Mr. Smith		201		
	By Mr. Stanton		203		
6	By Judge Albers		233		
	By Mr. Murphy		235		
7					
	ALVIN D. WILKENING				
8	By Mr. Smith	237			
	By Mr. Butts		238		
9	By Judge Albers		240		
	By Mr. Murphy		241		
10					
	C. MATTHEW JOHNSON				
11	By Mr. Guerra	242			
	By Mr. Fodor		244		
12	By Judge Albers		249		
13	DANA SMITH				
	By Ms. Mann-Stadt	253			
14	By Mr. Stanton		254		
	By Mr. Murphy		260		
15	By Mr. Fodor		263		
	By Judge Albers		265		
16					
	CASSIE YANG				
17	By Mr. Butts	268		272	
	By Mr. Fodor		270		
18	By Judge Albers		271		
19	PETER J. LONG				
	By Mr. Murphy	275		297	
20	By Mr. Stanton		279		
	By Mr. Moore		289		
21	By Mr. Smith		291		
	By Mr. Fodor		292		
22	By Mr. Butts		295		
	By Judge Albers		295		

1		<u>I N D E X</u>		
2	<u>WITNESSES</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u> <u>RECROSS</u>
3	ERIC A. SCHMIDT			
	By Mr. Fodor	299		310
4	By Mr. Moore		302	
	By Mr. Butts		304	
5	By Mr. Stanton		307	
	By Judge Albers		309/312	
6	JOHN C. MEYER			
7	By Mr. Fodor	313		318
	By Mr. Murphy		316	
8	By Mr. Butts		316	
	By Mr. Moore		317	
9	By Judge Albers		318	
10	MATTHEW JAMES BOLLINGER			
	By Mr. Murphy	320		326
11	By Mr. Stanton		321	
	By Mr. Butts		325	
12	By Judge Albers		325	
13	MICHAEL GUFFY			
	By Mr. Murphy	328		
14	By Mr. Butts		330	
	By Mr. Moore		330	
15	By Judge Albers		331	
16	HARVEY G. NELSON			
	By Mr. Stanton	333		
17	By Mr. Moore		338	
	By Mr. Butts		342	
18	By Mr. Murphy		343/364	
	By Mr. Fodor		344/361	
19	By Judge Albers		353	
	By Mr. Smith		358	
20				
21				
22				

1	<u>I N D E X</u>		
2	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	<u>MARKED</u>	<u>ADMITTED</u>
3	Grafton 1.0	e-docket	191
4	Grafton 2.0 Rev.	191	191
5	Petrouske direct & rebuttal for 6 companies	e-docket	200
6	Alhambra-Grantfork 1	e-docket	238
7	Verizon 2.0	e-docket	243
8	Verizon Wireless 1.0 Smith	e-docket	254
9	Ameritech Illinois 1.1	e-docket	270
10	& 1.2		
11	Cingular Wireless 3 Rev.	274	278
12	Columbia 1	287	287
13	Cingular Wireless 3.1 (late-filed)		289
14	Home Telephone 1.0, 2.0,	e-docket	302
15	2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4		
16	Harrisonville 1.0	e-docket	316
17	Harrisonville 2.0 Revised	315	316
18	Egyptian Telephone 2	e-docket	321
19	Madison Telephone 1	e-docket	329
20	ICC Staff 3.00	e-docket	338
21	ICC Staff 4.0 Revised	373	338
22			

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket Number 00-0677. This docket concerns the petition of NeuStar seeking area code relief for the 618 area code.

May I have the appearances for the record, please. Why don't we start at the table.

MR. MOORE: On behalf of First Cellular of Southern Illinois, Wabash Telephone Cooperative, Inc., Crossville Telephone Company, Hamilton County Telephone Coop, Shawnee Telephone Company, Inc., and Flat Rock Telephone Coop, Inc., Stephen Moore, Rowland & Moore, 77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 4600, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

MR. SMITH: Judge, my name is Gary Lloyd Smith. My business address is 1204 South Fourth Street, Springfield, Illinois 62703. I'm an attorney licensed to practice in Illinois, and I'm appearing on behalf of Alhambra-Grantfork Telephone Company.

MR. GUERRA: On behalf of Verizon North Inc. and Verizon South Inc., Mike Guerra of the law firm



1 of Sonnenschein, Nath and Rosenthal, 8000 Sears  
2 Tower, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

3 MS. MANN-STADT: On behalf of Verizon Wireless,  
4 Rendi Mann-Stadt from the law firm of Hinshaw and  
5 Culbertson, 400 South Ninth Street, Suite 200,  
6 Springfield, Illinois 62701.

7 MR. BUTTS: On behalf of Ameritech Illinois,  
8 Edward Butts, 1800 West Hawthorne Lane, Room 102,  
9 West Chicago, Illinois 60185 and...

10 MR. HUTTENHOWER: James Huttenhower,  
11 H-U-T-T-E-N-H-O-W-E-R, also on behalf of Ameritech  
12 Illinois, 225 West Randolph Street, Suite 25D,  
13 Chicago, 60606.

14 MR. FODOR: Appearing on behalf of  
15 Harrisonville Telephone Company, Home Telephone  
16 Company, and Grafton Telephone Company, Troy Fodor,  
17 business address 913 South Sixth Street,  
18 Springfield, Illinois 62703.

19 MR. MURPHY: On behalf of Cingular Wireless,  
20 Egyptian Telephone Cooperative Association, and  
21 Madison Telephone Company, Joseph D. Murphy, 306  
22 West Church Street, Champaign, Illinois 61820.

1           MR. STANTON: On behalf of the Staff of the  
2           Illinois Commerce Commission, Thomas R. Stanton,  
3           Office of General Counsel, 160 North La Salle  
4           Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

5           JUDGE ALBERS: And on the phone.

6           MS. LUCAS: Julie Lucas, on behalf of the  
7           Citizens Utility Board, 208 South La Salle, Suite  
8           1760, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

9           JUDGE ALBERS: Are there any other appearances  
10          over the telephone?

11          MS. MILLER: Kimberly Miller for NeuStar, 1120  
12          Vermont Avenue, N.W., Suite 400, Washington, D.C.  
13          20005, and with me today is Frank Colaco.

14          JUDGE ALBERS: Are there any others wishing to  
15          enter an appearance?

16          MR. ARNOLD: Mike Arnold, Grafton Telephone  
17          Company, 119 East Main Street, Grafton, Illinois.

18          MS. SMITH: Dana Smith, on behalf of Verizon  
19          Wireless.

20          MS. MANN-STADT: Excuse me.

21          JUDGE ALBERS: Are these witnesses?

22          MS. SMITH: Yeah, I'm just a witness.

1           JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. I'm just asking for the  
2           appearances for the attorneys for the parties,  
3           unless a party is representing itself.

4           Any other appearances? Let the record show no  
5           response.

6           Well, the purpose of this hearing is to take  
7           evidence on which geographic split options each  
8           party prefers. As far as preliminary matters  
9           though, I have a few.

10          I have the petitions to intervene. I received  
11          quite a few since last time. Is there any objection  
12          to any of the following petitions to intervene:  
13          Madison Telephone Company, Home Telephone Company,  
14          Harrisonville Telephone Company, Grafton Telephone  
15          Company, First Cellular of Southern Illinois,  
16          Alhambra Grantfork Telephone Company, Crossville  
17          Telephone Company, Wabash Telephone Cooperative,  
18          Inc., Flat Rock Telephone Coop, Inc., Hamilton  
19          County Telephone Co-op, and the City of Columbia?  
20          Hearing no objections, then all those petitions to  
21          intervene are granted.

22          There were also two other outstanding motions,

1 I believe one of them being Staff's motion for leave  
2 to file the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Harvey  
3 Nelson. Is there any objection to that? I assume I  
4 would have heard of it by now if there were. That  
5 motion is granted.

6 And I also believe there was another motion  
7 from --

8 MR. MOORE: We filed one on behalf of -- I  
9 think we only did one for First Cellular of Southern  
10 Illinois, even though -- that was the substantive  
11 testimony. We also filed I'll call it me too  
12 testimony in the other cases and didn't clutter the  
13 record with additional motions on those.

14 JUDGE ALBERS: Is there any objection to that  
15 motion? Hearing none, then that motion --

16 MS. MILLER: This is Kimberly Miller. I  
17 couldn't hear the motion.

18 JUDGE ALBERS: First Cellular filed a motion  
19 for leave to file its rebuttal testimony after the  
20 designated filing date in light of Staff's  
21 additional direct testimony. Did you receive that  
22 motion?

1 MS. MILLER: Yes. Thank you.

2 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. And no one -- do you have  
3 any objection to that?

4 MS. MILLER: No.

5 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Hearing no objection,  
6 then that motion is granted as well.

7 Are there any other preliminary matters? Okay.

8 Before we swear the witnesses in, I had one  
9 question for Ms. Miller. Can you advise us on the  
10 status of the 618 area code, please?

11 MS. MILLER: Yes, I can, and Frank Colaco can  
12 jump in here with me if I misstate anything, but the  
13 official projected exhaust remains at third quarter  
14 2002, which is the same that we stated in previous  
15 hearings, and as of right now there are -- as of  
16 January there are 680 NXXs that have been assigned  
17 and there are 88 available NXXs.

18 JUDGE ALBERS: And to refresh my memory, how  
19 many NXXs are considered unassignable?

20 MS. MILLER: Thirty-two. There are thirty-one  
21 unavailable and one protected.

22 JUDGE ALBERS: What's that one protected one?

1 MS. MILLER: Frank, can you speak to that?

2 MR. COLACO: Yes. Let me refer to my  
3 documentation.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: Could you speak up there,  
5 Mr. Colaco?

6 MR. COLACO: Yes, I can. Is that better?

7 JUDGE ALBERS: Barely.

8 MR. COLACO: I apologize. It must be my  
9 connection.

10 (Pause in the proceedings.)

11 MR. SMITH: Did we lose him?

12 JUDGE ALBERS: Are you still there, Mr. Colaco?

13 MR. COLACO: Yes, I am. I apologize for the  
14 delay. I'm looking at my records here.

15 I don't have a specific NXX that is designated  
16 as protected. I have a number of them that are  
17 unavailable for assignment for various reasons.

18 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. That's all right. Don't  
19 worry about it.

20 MR. COLACO: Okay.

21 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. I'll go ahead and swear  
22 all the witnesses at once then, so if you're

1       testifying today, please stand and raise your right  
2       hand.

3                       (Whereupon the witnesses were sworn  
4                       by Judge Albers.)

5       JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Thank you.

6       MR. SMITH: Did that include the guys on the  
7       phone?

8       JUDGE ALBERS: I hope it did.

9       MR. SMITH: Okay. Just as long as they know.

10       JUDGE ALBERS: The witnesses appearing by  
11       phone, did you -- were you standing with your right  
12       hand raised?

13       UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes.

14       UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes.

15       JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.

16       Well, go ahead, Mr. Moore.

17       MR. MOORE: One at a time?

18       MR. FODOR: Is it my understanding that the  
19       witnesses on the phone are to be not crossed. Do  
20       you think maybe we ought to deal with them first in  
21       case they want to get off and not listen for the  
22       whole day?

1 MR. SMITH: Or do they?

2 JUDGE ALBERS: Is there any objection to doing  
3 that?

4 MR. SMITH: No.

5 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

6 MS. MANN-STADT: Excuse me. Dana Smith should  
7 probably wait to go after your witnesses.

8 MR. FODOR: Okay.

9 MS. MANN-STADT: There's some slight correction  
10 necessary we believe in the testimony of others in  
11 terms of their citation of what Verizon Wireless  
12 represented, so it would be more efficient to let  
13 your witnesses go first.

14 MR. FODOR: Okay. One of those is Mr. Arnold,  
15 and he's on the phone. I think everyone has agreed  
16 that they have no cross for Mr. Arnold. I have an  
17 affidavit with his testimony here, but I also have  
18 him on the phone, so.

19 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

20 MR. FODOR: And he can speak to the correction  
21 that you're talking about.

22 JUDGE ALBERS: Do you have an extra copy of the



1 affidavit?

2 MR. FODOR: Yeah, I do. There's the affidavit  
3 for the direct, and there's the affidavit for the  
4 rebuttal.

5 JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.

6 Go ahead.

7 MR. FODOR: Okay.

8 **PAUL MICHAEL ARNOLD**

9 called as a witness on behalf of Grafton Telephone  
10 Company, having been first duly sworn, was examined  
11 and testified telephonically as follows:

12 DIRECT EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. FODOR:

14 Q. Mike, are you there on the phone?

15 THE WITNESS:

16 A. Yes, I am.

17 Q. Do you want to go ahead and state your full  
18 name for the Court Reporter, please?

19 A. Paul Michael Arnold.

20 Q. Okay. And did you prefile some direct and  
21 rebuttal testimony in this case?

22 A. Yes, I did.

1 Q. Do you have it sitting in front of you where  
2 you're at?

3 A. Yes, I do.

4 Q. Okay. Looking at the direct testimony, was it  
5 identified as Grafton Telephone Company Exhibit 1.0?

6 A. That is correct.

7 Q. And if I asked you those questions today, would  
8 you give the same answers that are written on the  
9 prefilled testimony?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay. Looking at your prefilled rebuttal  
12 testimony, is it identified as Grafton Telephone  
13 Company Exhibit 2.0?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. Is it your understanding that on page 2 of that  
16 prefilled testimony, line 13, we need to strike out  
17 the words "Verizon Wireless"?

18 A. That's correct.

19 MR. FODOR: Okay. Your Honor, for your  
20 purposes and everyone else in the room, we  
21 misidentified Verizon Wireless as supporting Map No.  
22 8. Their testimony speaks for itself, but they do

1 not support Map No. 8.

2 Is everybody ready?

3 JUDGE ALBERS: Would you repeat that  
4 correction?

5 MR. FODOR: Yeah. Page 2 of the rebuttal, line  
6 13, strike out "Verizon Wireless".

7 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

8 MR. FODOR: So it would now read: "Yes, First  
9 Cellular and a number of small telephone companies".

10 Q. Okay. Mike, with that correction, if I were to  
11 ask you the questions on Exhibit 2 today, would you  
12 give the same answers?

13 A. Yes, I would.

14 MR. FODOR: That's all I have for Mr. Arnold,  
15 Your Honor. I would offer Grafton Exhibit 1.0 and  
16 Grafton Exhibit 2.0.

17 JUDGE ALBERS: Do you have extra copies of  
18 Grafton Exhibit 2.0 for the Court Reporter since it  
19 has been changed?

20 MR. FODOR: Yeah, I do.

21 JUDGE ALBERS: I just need one extra copy  
22 actually.

1 MR. GUERRA: I have them both.

2 (Whereupon Grafton Exhibit 2.0

3 Revised was marked for

4 identification.)

5 JUDGE ALBERS: Is there any objection to

6 Grafton Exhibits 1.0 or 2.0? Hearing none, then

7 they're admitted.

8 (Whereupon Grafton Exhibits 1.0 and

9 2.0 were received into evidence.)

10 MR. FODOR: Thank you.

11 JUDGE ALBERS: Why don't we call 2.02.0

12 Revised.

13 Is there anything else for Mr. Arnold?

14 MR. FODOR: No. That's all I have.

15 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

16 MR. FODOR: Thanks, Mike.

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18 (Witness excused.)

19 JUDGE ALBERS: Are there any other witnesses on

20 the phone?

21 MS. MANN-STADT: I believe the Staff has cross

22 for my witness.

1 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Right.

2 MR. MURPHY: I have a witness that a lot of  
3 people have cross for on the phone.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. We'll go ahead and I  
5 guess just start with Mr. Moore then.

6 MR. MOORE: I'll do each company by itself?

7 JUDGE ALBERS: Yes.

8 MR. MOORE: Okay.

9 JUDGE ALBERS: Got different exhibits for them,  
10 right?

11 MR. MOORE: Yeah.

12 **MICHAEL P. PETROUSKE**

13 called as a witness on behalf of various independent  
14 telephone companies, having been first duly sworn,  
15 was examined and testified as follows:

16 DIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. MOORE:

18 Q. Would you please state your name?

19 THE WITNESS:

20 A. My name is Michael P. Petrouske,

21 P-E-T-R-O-U-S-K-E.

22 Q. Now I show you what has been marked for

1 identification as Southern Illinois RSA Partnership  
2 d/b/a First Cellular of Southern Illinois, Direct  
3 Testimony of Michael Petrouske. Did you write this  
4 testimony?

5 A. Yes, I did.

6 Q. And if asked the same questions today, would  
7 you give same answers?

8 A. Yes, I would.

9 Q. This has not changed since it was filed on  
10 e-Docket?

11 A. No.

12 Q. I now show you what has been marked for  
13 identification as Rebuttal Testimony of Michael  
14 Petrouske on Behalf of Southern Illinois RSA  
15 Partnership d/b/a First Cellular of Southern  
16 Illinois. Did you write this testimony?

17 A. Yes, I did.

18 Q. And if asked the same questions today, would  
19 you give the same answers?

20 A. Yes, I would.

21 Q. And have there been any changes since it was  
22 filed on e-Docket?

1       A.     No, there haven't.

2               MR. MOORE:   At this time I offer Mr. Petrouske  
3     for cross-examination and move into evidence the two  
4     pieces of testimony.

5               MR. BUTTS:   Would it be more efficient to have  
6     him identify all of the testimony that he's -- I  
7     think his answers are going to be the same for all  
8     the witnesses.

9               JUDGE ALBERS:  Are there questions for  
10    Mr. Petrouske?

11              MR. FODOR:   (Raises hand.)

12              JUDGE ALBERS:  Okay.  You have questions?  
13    Okay.

14              MR. MOORE:   Procedurally, this testimony is  
15    substantive.  The others agree with this testimony.  
16    I don't know how you want to handle it.  They don't  
17    have the -- they are different in that sense, but  
18    they are identical once you get to that point.

19              MR. BUTTS:   I just thought you could introduce  
20    them all at once and we could save some time.

21              JUDGE ALBERS:  That's fine.  Go ahead and do  
22    that.

1 MR. MOORE: Okay.

2 JUDGE ALBERS: And then if your questions  
3 pertain to a particular -- okay.

4 MR. MOORE:

5 Q. Now I show you what has been marked for  
6 identification as the Direct Testimony of Michael  
7 Petrouske on Behalf of Wabash Telephone Cooperative,  
8 Inc. if asked -- did you draft this testimony?

9 A. Yes, I did.

10 Q. And if asked these questions, would you give  
11 the same answers today?

12 A. Yes, I would.

13 Q. Have there been any changes since it was filed  
14 on e-Docket?

15 A. No, there haven't.

16 Q. I now show you what has been marked for  
17 identification as the Rebuttal Testimony of Michael  
18 Petrouske on behalf of Wabash Telephone Cooperative,  
19 Inc. Did you draft this testimony?

20 A. Yes, I did.

21 Q. And have there been any changes since this  
22 testimony was filed on e-Docket?



1 A. No, there have not.

2 Q. I now show you what has been marked for  
3 identification as the Direct Testimony of Michael  
4 Petrouske on Behalf of Hamilton County Telephone  
5 Coop. Did you draft this testimony?

6 A. Yes, I did.

7 Q. And if asked the same questions, would you give  
8 the same answers?

9 A. Yes, I would.

10 Q. And have there been any changes since this was  
11 filed on e-Docket?

12 A. No, there haven't.

13 Q. I now show you what has been marked for  
14 identification as the Rebuttal Testimony of Michael  
15 Petrouske on Behalf of Hamilton County Telephone  
16 Coop. Did you draft this testimony?

17 A. Yes, I did.

18 Q. And if asked the same questions, would you give  
19 the same answers today?

20 A. Yes, I would.

21 Q. And have there been any changes in this  
22 testimony since it was filed on e-Docket?

1 A. No, there haven't.

2 Q. I now show you what has been marked for  
3 identification as the Direct Testimony of Michael  
4 Petrouske on Behalf of Shawnee Telephone Company,  
5 Inc. did you draft this testimony?

6 A. Yes, I did.

7 Q. And if asked the same questions, would you give  
8 the same answers?

9 A. Yes, I would.

10 Q. And have there been any changes since this was  
11 filed on e-Docket?

12 A. No, there haven't.

13 Q. Now I show you what has been marked for  
14 identification as Rebuttal Testimony of Michael  
15 Petrouske on Behalf of Shawnee Telephone Company.  
16 Did you draft this testimony?

17 A. Yes, I did.

18 Q. And have there been any -- if asked the same  
19 questions today, would you give the same answers?

20 A. Yes, I would.

21 Q. And have there been any changes since this was  
22 filed on e-Docket?

1 A. No, there haven't.

2 Q. Now I show you what has been marked for  
3 identification as the Rebuttal Testimony of Michael  
4 P. Petrouske on Behalf of Shawnee Telephone Company.  
5 Did you draft this testimony?

6 A. Yes, I did.

7 Q. And if asked the same questions today, would  
8 you give the same answers?

9 A. Yes, I would.

10 Q. And have there been any changes in this  
11 testimony since it was filed on e-Docket?

12 A. No, there haven't.

13 Q. Now I show you what has been marked for  
14 identification as the Direct Testimony of Michael  
15 Petrouske on Behalf of Flat Rock Telephone Co-op,  
16 Inc. did you draft this testimony?

17 A. Yes, I did.

18 Q. And if asked the same questions today, would  
19 you give the same answers today?

20 A. Yes, I would.

21 Q. Have there been any changes since this was  
22 filed on e-Docket?

1 A. No, there haven't.

2 MR. MOORE: At this time I move into evidence  
3 the direct testimony and rebuttal testimony of  
4 Mr. Petrouske in behalf of each of the --

5 A. You forgot Crossville.

6 Q. I'm sorry?

7 MR. SMITH: Crossville.

8 Q. Now I show you what has been marked for  
9 identification as the Direct Testimony of Michael  
10 Petrouske on Behalf of Crossville Telephone Company.  
11 Is this your -- did you draft this testimony?

12 A. Yes, I did.

13 Q. And if asked the same questions, would you give  
14 same answers today?

15 A. Yes, I would.

16 Q. And have there been any changes since this  
17 testimony was filed on e-Docket?

18 A. No, there haven't.

19 Q. Now I show you what has been marked for  
20 identification as the Rebuttal Testimony of Michael  
21 Petrouske on Behalf of Crossville Telephone Company.  
22 Did you draft this testimony?

1 A. Yes, I did.

2 Q. And if asked the same questions today, would  
3 you give the same answers?

4 A. Yes, I would.

5 Q. And have there been any changes in this  
6 testimony since it was filed on the e-Docket?

7 A. No, there haven't.

8 MR. MOORE: At this time I move into evidence  
9 the direct and rebuttal testimony of Mr. Petrouske  
10 on behalf each of the six companies that we've  
11 referred to above.

12 MR. SMITH: No objection.

13 JUDGE ALBERS: Any other objection or lack  
14 thereof? Hearing none, then the exhibits are all  
15 admitted.

16 (Whereupon the Direct and Rebuttal  
17 Testimony of Michael Petrouske for  
18 Southern Illinois RSA Partnership  
19 d/b/a Wabash Telephone Cooperative,  
20 Hamilton County Telephone Co-op,  
21 Shawnee Telephone Company, Flat Rock  
22 Telephone Co-op and Crossville

1 Telephone Company were received into  
2 evidence.)

3 JUDGE ALBERS: Mr. Fodor, you had some  
4 questions?

5 MR. FODOR: I think I have some. I think  
6 Staff's counsel had some too. Mine may be  
7 extensive. I'm going to ask him about the math, so  
8 if everybody else that has something for  
9 Mr. Petrouske would like to go first, it would  
10 probably be better for everyone.

11 MR. SMITH: I just have a few.

12 JUDGE ALBERS: Go right ahead.

13 CROSS EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. SMITH:

15 Q. Good morning, Mr. Petrouske.

16 A. Good morning, Mr. Smith.

17 Q. Would you refer to your rebuttal testimony of  
18 First Cellular? Looking at page 4, on line 93 and  
19 line 103 you discuss the impact on customers. Do  
20 you see that?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. What do you mean by customers?

1       A.    I mean by the customers of the providers in the  
2       618 NPA.   The end user customers --

3       Q.    Okay.

4       A.    -- of any provider.   If you want to think of  
5       it as wireless, wireline, competitive local exchange  
6       carriers.

7       Q.    But wouldn't you agree though that the impact  
8       is much broader than just customers?

9       A.    Yes.

10      Q.    There are users who are not customers, are  
11      there not?   Let me ask you a question.   For example,  
12      you have a home phone at your residence?

13      A.    Yes.

14      Q.    Do you have any children?

15      A.    Yes.

16      Q.    Do those children use the phone?

17      A.    Rarely.

18      Q.    Occasionally?

19      A.    But occasionally, yes.

20      Q.    And they're not customers.   You're the  
21      customer.

22      A.    Correct.

1 Q. So when you look at, for example, a household,  
2 the number of users can be much greater than just a  
3 customer. Would you agree?

4 A. Yes.

5 MR. SMITH: No further questions.

6 CROSS EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. STANTON:

8 Q. My name is Tom Stanton. I represent the Staff.

9 A. Hi.

10 Q. Does First Cellular offer service in all areas  
11 in the 618 area code?

12 A. No, they do not.

13 Q. Could you describe what areas First Cellular  
14 offers service in?

15 A. First Cellular's service territory covers --

16 (Pause in the proceedings).

17 I'm just looking for the service coverage map.

18 Q. Sure. No problem.

19 (Pause in the proceedings.)

20 A. All right. I've got a county listing, if that  
21 will help. Their footprint basically covers the  
22 following counties: Randolph, Washington, Perry,



1 Jackson, Union, Alexander, Pulaski, Massac, Johnson,  
2 Williamson, Franklin, Jefferson, Clay, Wayne,  
3 Hamilton, Saline, Pope, Hardin, Gallatin, White,  
4 Edwards, Wabash, Lawrence, and Richland Counties.

5 Q. Okay. And if I were to obtain service from  
6 First Cellular, would I typically enter into an  
7 agreement or contract for service?

8 A. You generally would.

9 Q. And would that contract for service include a  
10 term commitment?

11 A. Depending on the call plans.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. They also have prepaid call plans and a number  
14 of items that don't require say, for example, a  
15 minimum one-year contract to secure the pricing of  
16 that plan.

17 Q. So the general run of the mill cellular service  
18 is --

19 A. General run of the mill cellular service,  
20 generally when they secure the initial promotional  
21 benefits and potentially some free air time in the  
22 first three to six months of a plan, there's a term

1       commitment of a year.

2       Q.     Now if I were to cancel the service before the  
3       term was completed, would I owe First Cellular an  
4       early termination fee? And, again, in that run of  
5       the mill circumstance.

6       A.     Run of the mill circumstance. I'm not sure  
7       what the termination fees on service agreements are.

8       Q.     Okay. But there is a termination fee?

9       A.     I'm not sure if there is or not, sir.

10      Q.     Now if the Commission adopts geographic split  
11      No. 12 and Area A retains the 618 NPA, only cellular  
12      customers in Area B will have to have their handsets  
13      reprogrammed. Isn't that correct?

14      A.     That is correct.

15      Q.     Okay. Now turning to page 4, lines 85 through  
16      87 of your direct testimony.

17      A.     Yes.

18      Q.     Okay. You state that once a number change  
19      takes place, every customer of the cellular service  
20      providers of the 618 area code must visit their  
21      service provider to have their cell phones  
22      reprogrammed. Do you see that?

1       A.     Yes.

2       Q.     Is that a correct statement?

3       A.     No.   I'm sorry; that isn't.   That's a  
4       misstatement.   What I meant was every cellular  
5       customer in the new NPA will need to make a physical  
6       trip to their cellular provider in order to get  
7       their service to work.

8       Q.     And that's assuming the cellular provider needs  
9       to actually reprogram the handsets.   Is that  
10      correct?

11      A.     Right.   And they will if the NPA changes for  
12      them.

13      Q.     All cellular carriers?

14      A.     All cellular carriers that are serving the new  
15      NPA area will have to have their customers come in  
16      to reprogram handsets in order for service to work.  
17      That is correct.

18      Q.     On page 4, line 90 of your direct.

19      A.     Yes.

20      Q.     You indicate there are over 100,000 cellular  
21      subscribers in the First Cellular territory.   Is  
22      that correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Are all those customers First Cellular  
3 customers?

4 A. No.

5 Q. How did you arrive at that figure?

6 A. That was a management estimate provided to me  
7 by First Cellular based on in-house proprietary  
8 marketing information and other market information  
9 that they have access to.

10 Q. Okay. So you're saying that it's First  
11 Cellular customers and --

12 A. Other wireless providers in their territory,  
13 yes.

14 Q. Now if the Commission adopts geographic split  
15 Alternative 8 and Area B retains the 618 NPA.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. None of First Cellular's customers would have  
18 to have their handsets reprogrammed. Is that  
19 correct?

20 A. That is correct.

21 Q. If you could turn to page 107 -- I'm sorry --  
22 line 107 of your direct.

1 A. Of direct?

2 Q. Yes.

3 A. Yes, sir.

4 Q. Now when you refer to the term "competitors" on  
5 line 107, you just mean other wireless carriers,  
6 correct?

7 A. Other wireless carriers, correct.

8 Q. Okay. And also on page 5, beginning at lines  
9 110, probably continuing until about 120, do you see  
10 that?

11 A. Yes, sir.

12 Q. You discuss the work required to be done  
13 concerning roaming service in the case of a  
14 geographic split. Do you see that?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Would the work you discuss also be required for  
17 an overlay?

18 A. Not until the point where a wireless provider  
19 would need to request a new NXX that was assigned  
20 with a new NPA.

21 Q. But at that point you would.

22 A. At that point, at that point they would have to

1       update roaming agreements to make sure that their  
2       new NPA NXX numbers worked with all roaming  
3       partners. That's correct.

4       Q.     The same as they would with a split.

5       A.     Right.

6       Q.     Okay.

7       A.     But when you talk about the volume of that,  
8       that would be a much smaller volume in an overlay  
9       because it wouldn't happen until, first of all, they  
10      needed a new NXX that had to be issued with the new  
11      NPA, and it would only potentially affect that  
12      segment of their customer base that were going to be  
13      assigned those new numbers, not put their entire  
14      customer base at risk, which a split would do.

15           MR. STANTON: I have no further questions.

16           MR. FODOR: It's down to me then, huh?

17           JUDGE ALBERS: It just leaves you, yes.

18                           EXAMINATION

19           BY MR. FODOR:

20       Q.     Mr. Petrouske.

21       A.     Good morning, Mr. Fodor.

22       Q.     Thank you.

1           Is it true that First Cellular selected  
2   Alternate Map 8 because of its service territory  
3   that you just described in responding to  
4   Mr. Stanton's questions?

5   A.   Yes.   That's the primary reason that First  
6   Cellular chose Alternative 8 is that it has the most  
7   insignificant impact on its customer base, and if  
8   retention of the 618 can be retained in Area B, it  
9   will also be the map we believe that will have the  
10   least effect on a number of customers that would be  
11   affected by an area code change.

12   Q.   When you were going through the list of  
13   counties that First Cellular serves, I didn't hear  
14   you mention Calhoun.  Is it true that they do not  
15   serve customers in Calhoun County?

16   A.   That is correct.

17   Q.   Is it true that they also do not serve  
18   customers in Jersey County?

19   A.   Pardon me for a moment, Mr. Fodor, while I find  
20   one of the maps that has the county lines on it.

21   Q.   I could direct you to Alternate 4.  It has  
22   county lines on it, and it also shows the same basic

1 boundary line as 8.

2 A. That's correct. They also do not have service  
3 in Jersey County.

4 Q. And do they have service in Macoupin County?

5 A. No, they do not.

6 Q. Okay. Are you looking at Map 8?

7 A. I've got Map 12 in front of me. It's got the  
8 county line delineations.

9 Q. Do you have Map 4 with you?

10 A. I don't seem to have Map 4 in the set that I've  
11 got.

12 MR. FODOR: I don't really have an extra here  
13 with me. I'd just like to establish that 4 and 8  
14 show the same boundary line, Your Honor, so that I  
15 can have him use one that's got the county lines on  
16 it.

17 (Whereupon said document was  
18 provided to the witness.)

19 A. Yes, I have it in front of me.

20 Q. Will you please compare Map 4 and Map 8 and  
21 tell me if the lines drawn on there represent the  
22 same Areas A and B.



1       A.     It appears that they do.

2             MR. FODOR:   Okay.   Just for the record, Your  
3     Honor, Maps 4 and 8 and 12, they're all in the  
4     record already, so.

5             JUDGE ALBERS:   Right, right.

6             MR. FODOR:   So no need to reidentify anything.

7       Q.     Do you see how the bubble that's drawn around  
8     Area A creates Calhoun, Jersey, and Macoupin County  
9     as an island separate from the rest of the Area B on  
10    that map?

11    A.     Yes.

12   Q.     So if Map 8 were adopted, Area B - it would all  
13   be the same NPA - would have these two separate  
14   islands?

15   A.     I'm sorry.   I didn't understand the question.

16   Q.     Well, Map 8 that you sponsor.

17   A.     Yes.

18   Q.     It looks the same as Map 4 that you're looking  
19   at now.   Right?

20   A.     Yes.

21   Q.     Map 4 shows that Calhoun, Jersey, and Macoupin  
22   County sit up in the northeast corner of the

1 existing 618 area code region. Right?

2 A. The northwest corner, yes.

3 Q. I'm sorry; the northwest. And that northwest  
4 corner, when you draw the line around Area B, is  
5 completely segregated from the remainder of Area B,  
6 is it not?

7 A. It appears like it's separated by basically an  
8 exchange at the very south, southwestern edge of  
9 where the 217 area code line lies, but yes.

10 Q. Okay. Does it make any sense to have two  
11 separate islands like that from First Cellular's  
12 perspective since they don't have any service up  
13 there?

14 A. I don't see that it makes a tremendous  
15 difference one way or the other.

16 Q. Do any of your other clients that you're  
17 representing here today have service up in those  
18 three counties?

19 A. No, they do not.

20 Q. Mr. Petrouske, I think the remainder of my  
21 questions are going to involve the schedules to your  
22 rebuttal testimony.

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. So at this point you can probably get rid of  
3 those maps.

4 A. Thank you, Mr. Murphy.

5 Q. I'll have different maps for you later. I  
6 promise.

7 Have you got your Schedule 1 to your rebuttal  
8 testimony in front of you?

9 A. Yes, I do, sir.

10 Q. First of all, I have some questions about the  
11 assumptions that you used. It looks -- from looking  
12 at Schedule 2, it looks like you were assuming that  
13 40 percent of Macoupin County was in the Area A  
14 portion of the 618 exchange and that the remaining  
15 60 percent was in the 217 area code. Is that  
16 correct?

17 A. No, sir. Let me -- may I explain the schedule  
18 in a little more detail?

19 Q. Please do.

20 A. The 40 percent is an assumption I made for the  
21 small business separation once I'd looked up  
22 essentially township, city, or village detail in the

1 census data. There was non-farm business  
2 information readily available by township, village,  
3 city level detail. I reviewed the Macoupin County  
4 map and actually isolated the population and  
5 business count information for the towns listed as  
6 note 2 to my assumptions, Benld, Carlinville,  
7 Gillespie, Girard, Modesto, Palmyra, Scottville, and  
8 Virden. I then made the adjustments for those  
9 population numbers. Pardon me; I forgot housing  
10 units; housing units and businesses based on actual  
11 detail census data available. I didn't have small  
12 business count detail available when I did the  
13 analysis, so I made the assumption that in the  
14 business entity counts, 60 percent were to be  
15 excluded from Macoupin County's count, so I excluded  
16 60 percent of the small business counts as an  
17 assumption.

18 Q. So in determining the portion of the small --  
19 excuse me. You didn't have small business. In  
20 determining the portion of the non- farm businesses  
21 to use, did you look at the Hettick area, the  
22 Chesterfield area, the Medora area, and the rest of

1 the cities or exchanges that would have been on the  
2 Area A of 618 side of the line as well?

3 A. No. I just pulled the detailed numbers I  
4 needed to be able to exclude what shouldn't have  
5 been there.

6 Q. How can you figure a percentage to exclude if  
7 you don't look at the whole?

8 A. I looked at the whole. I identified these  
9 areas that needed to be excluded, got the detail  
10 data for these areas, and subtracted them out.

11 Q. Did you get the detailed data for Hettick?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Did you get the detailed data for Chesterfield?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Medora?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Shipman?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Woodburn?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Bunker Hill?

22 A. No.

1 Q. Prairietown?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Staunton?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Brighton?

6 A. No.

7 Q. If I showed you a map, I think you'd agree with  
8 me that --

9 A. Those are the areas that should be included.

10 Q. Those are the areas that are included on the  
11 618 side of the line?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So, again, how do we arrive at a 40 percent  
14 calculation if you did not look at the detailed  
15 information about those areas?

16 A. I took the county totals that were available.  
17 I took the detail available for the excluded  
18 communities and got detailed business entity counts  
19 for those, and those equated to 60 percent of the  
20 county total.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. My assumption was that I could then say I

1       assume 40 percent remains.

2       Q.     Okay.  And you performed the same function for  
3       -- the same mechanism for Effingham?

4       A.     Actually Effingham County, the smaller  
5       percentage of the county was actually to be  
6       included, so I assembled the detailed numbers that  
7       needed to be included since it was a smaller amount  
8       of data to have to gather.

9       Q.     Okay.  Now that was just with respect to  
10      businesses, right?  You performed a different  
11      analysis for the population and the households?

12      A.     The information is available for the business  
13      entity counts, housing unit counts, and population  
14      counts and the same level of detail that it's  
15      available in the county-wide data that it appeared  
16      to me everybody was using.

17      Q.     Okay.  So focusing on Macoupin County, you've  
18      determined that 40 percent, 40 percent of the  
19      businesses are in the Area A side of the line as  
20      opposed to --

21      A.     Are in the 618 side of the line, yes, sir.

22      Q.     Okay.  What percent of households did you find

1 to be on the 618 side of the line for Macoupin  
2 County?

3 (Pause in the proceedings.)

4 A. Will you allow me a minute to look that up?

5 Q. If you find it, you might hang on to it because  
6 I'm going to ask you the same question about  
7 Effingham County.

8 A. Macoupin County percentage of households.

9 MR. MOORE: In 618, right?

10 MR. SMITH: Yeah.

11 A. In 618. I'm sorry I don't have a percentage to  
12 give you, but I can tell you that it will be 4,959  
13 divided by 21,097.

14 Q. Because 21,097 is the total --

15 A. That's the total households for Macoupin  
16 County, total housing units for Macoupin County.

17 Q. You don't have a specific percentage, but you  
18 used the same methodology?

19 A. I could get actual housing counts and actual  
20 small business counts and actual population counts  
21 from the detail of the census data. The only  
22 assumption I applied that to was the small



1 businesses because I couldn't get the small business  
2 counts -- at least I didn't find the small business  
3 count information to be down to essentially that zip  
4 code level.

5 Q. Okay. And therefore for the small business one  
6 you used --

7 A. The small business is the only number that I  
8 applied that assumption percentage to.

9 Q. Okay.

10 A. All the rest is actual data. I'm sorry. Maybe  
11 that wasn't clear.

12 Q. That's okay. I just tried to apply the 40  
13 percent to the numbers in the population column and  
14 the housing column and it didn't work.

15 A. There's actual data available to derive those  
16 numbers.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. So I'm sorry my assumption wasn't clear enough  
19 to state that the assumption was only applied to the  
20 small business count.

21 Q. And if I asked you all those same questions  
22 about Effingham --

1 A. I'll tell you the same thing.

2 Q. Okay. Looking at the numbers themselves, I saw  
3 one other difference between your schedules and the  
4 schedules I think that were distributed to the  
5 parties by Mr. Nelson in response to my data  
6 request. Will you look at Richland County on your  
7 Schedule 1?

8 A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. Do you have a number of 509 businesses?

10 A. 509 business entities, yes.

11 Q. Do you have any source data with you from which  
12 you can check to see if that's right? I'll give you  
13 a clue. It is.

14 A. I don't know if I have my detail backup for the  
15 business counts with me. No. I apologize. The  
16 detail I have with me for the general information  
17 only includes the population and housing unit  
18 information.

19 MR. FODOR: Your Honor, do you mind if -- it's  
20 kind of crowded. I was going to ask if I could  
21 approach the witness, but maybe it would be best if  
22 I just hand this over.

1 JUDGE ALBERS: That's fine.

2 MR. FODOR: I'm not seeking to admit this as an  
3 exhibit. I'm just trying to refresh the witness's  
4 recollection.

5 Q. I'm handing you a document, sir, that I think  
6 is the source material you might have used.

7 A. That is the source material that I used for the  
8 county information.

9 Q. And if you look at the line that you obtained  
10 your business data from, does it say 509 or does it  
11 say 590?

12 A. 509.

13 Q. Okay. So then your schedule has the correct  
14 number.

15 A. I believe it to be correct, yes.

16 JUDGE ALBERS: For the record, can you just  
17 identify what that is?

18 MR. FODOR: For the record, this is a printout  
19 from the U.S. Census Bureau Web site under Quick  
20 Facts, and it specifically is a sheet that prints  
21 out when you select the county of Richland County.

22 Q. Mr. Petrouske, in preparing your Schedule 1,

1       were you using Map 8 or were you using Map 6?

2       A.     I used Map 6 just to make my point.

3       Q.     Okay.  Once again, Map 6, if we were to look at  
4       it, does not have the county detail, but as I think  
5       we all agreed before, Map 4 does have the county  
6       detail.  I'm going to show your attorney -- I've  
7       taken Map 4 with the county detail and I've drawn a  
8       line representative of the line for Map 6.  If it's  
9       okay with him and the Hearing Examiner -- excuse me  
10      -- the Administrative Law Judge, I'll show you that  
11      document, and I'll ask you to refer to it in  
12      responding to the next set of questions that I'm  
13      going to ask.

14                       (Whereupon said document was  
15                       provided to the witness.)

16              Will you please compare the document that  
17      you've just been handed to Map 6 and see if I've  
18      drawn the line correctly?

19                       (Pause in the proceedings.)

20      A.     It appears that you have.

21      Q.     Unfortunately, that's the only one I've got, so  
22      I'm going to have a hard time asking my questions.

1 I'll have to do it from memory.

2 Mr. Petrouske, does that map show any portion  
3 of Clinton County being in Area A?

4 A. Yes, it does. It looks like roughly a third to  
5 a half.

6 Q. Did you include any population from Clinton  
7 County in your Schedule 1?

8 A. No, I did not.

9 Q. Did you include any households from Clinton  
10 County in your Schedule 1?

11 A. No, I did not.

12 Q. Did you include any businesses or small  
13 businesses from Clinton County in your Schedule 1?

14 A. No, I did not.

15 Q. Looking at that map, sir, are there any people  
16 in Jackson County or businesses in Jackson County or  
17 households in Jackson County that would be on the  
18 Area A side of the line?

19 A. Yes, there would. It appears that the  
20 exchanges of Ava and Glenn would fall on the Area A  
21 side of that line.

22 Q. Okay. In preparing your Schedule A, did you

1 include any population for those portions of Jackson  
2 County?

3 A. No, I did not.

4 Q. Did you include any portion of the businesses  
5 in Jackson County?

6 A. No, I did not.

7 Q. Or any portion of the households in Jackson  
8 County?

9 A. Are we still talking Area A?

10 Q. In Area A, yes.

11 A. No, I did not.

12 Q. Looking on the map, sir, please, at Perry  
13 County.

14 A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. Is there any portion of Perry County that falls  
16 in Area A?

17 A. It looks like, based on this map, approximately  
18 half of Perry County falls into Area A.

19 Q. Okay. In preparing your Schedule 1, did you  
20 include any of the population for Perry County in  
21 Area A?

22 A. No.

1 Q. Did you include any of the household for Perry  
2 County in Area A?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Did you include any of the businesses or small  
5 businesses for Perry County in Area A?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Look please, sir, at Washington County. Is  
8 there any portion of Washington County that would  
9 appear on the Area A side of the line?

10 A. Yes. It appears approximately half of  
11 Washington County is incorporated into Area A.

12 Q. In preparing your Schedule 1, did you include  
13 any of the portion of the population from Washington  
14 County as being within Area A?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Did you include any of the portion of the  
17 housing units from Washington County as being within  
18 Area A?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Did you include any of the portion of the  
21 businesses or small businesses in Washington County  
22 as being within Area A?

1       A.     No.

2       Q.     So would it be fair to say that your numbers  
3       could be better?

4       A.     Absolutely.  My main point was just to  
5       illustrate that even taking a couple of the counties  
6       that were included in the initial analysis by the  
7       parties presented with the testimony filed January  
8       15th, that there was better -- first, there's better  
9       data available by which to quantify the impact on  
10      the customer base for both the A and B side, and,  
11      second, that I believe it's more appropriate to look  
12      at other factors in the data which Mr. Harvey and  
13      Mr. Schmidt both touched on.

14      Q.     You mean Mr. Nelson.

15      A.     Or Mr. Nelson.  I'm sorry.  Excuse me.  Instead  
16      of just population numbers and housing density,  
17      which really doesn't mean much, and those being  
18      households, businesses and small businesses, because  
19      I believe that those more fairly equate to a  
20      representation of customers than straight  
21      population.

22      Q.     But then, sir, don't you take that premise and



1 don't you give us some numbers that support your  
2 theory of keeping the 618 NPA on Area B?

3 A. It happens that the numbers worked out that  
4 way. I think it's more important that the analysis  
5 is done correctly.

6 Q. And wouldn't it be more correct in the analysis  
7 --

8 A. To make additional adjustments? Given more  
9 time, yes.

10 Q. Well, you had time to make those adjustments  
11 for Macoupin County, didn't you?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. And you had time to make those adjustments for  
14 Effingham County?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. Any particular reason other than lack of time  
17 that you didn't make them for Clinton County,  
18 Jackson County, Perry County, and Washington County?

19 A. No, sir.

20 MR. FODOR: I don't have all the data available  
21 that you do, but I do have the population by city.  
22 Anybody in the room want to go through it one by

1           one? I don't, Your Honor. I apologize.

2           Counsel, would you mind if I asked him a  
3 hypothetical?

4           MR. MOORE: As long as it's based on potential  
5 fact.

6           MR. FODOR: I think it's based on answers he  
7 just gave.

8           MR. MOORE: Sure.

9           MR. FODOR:

10          Q. Are you familiar with Mr. Schmidt's rebuttal  
11 testimony?

12          A. Yes.

13          Q. Are you familiar that he engaged the assumption  
14 that half of Clinton County, half of Washington  
15 County, half of Perry County, and half of Jackson  
16 County should be included on each side of the line  
17 for the 618 -- excuse me -- for the Map 6?

18          A. Based on Map 6, yes, I did have a chance to see  
19 what Mr. Schmidt did in rebuttal.

20          Q. Whether or not you agree with the fractions, do  
21 you mind running through those numbers? I have them  
22 already done. If you want to check them, you can

1       get out a calculator, or I can get one from someone  
2       else in the room.

3       A.     I'll trust your numbers subject to check.

4       Q.     Engaging my assumption, would it be fair to  
5       take your total housing units for Area A of 254,023  
6       and add back half of the Clinton County houses?

7       A.     That may be reasonable.

8       Q.     Okay. And if we added back half, would that be  
9       approximately 6,900?

10      A.     Approximately.

11      Q.     And if we didn't do any other adjustments,  
12      since we have to add 6,900 to Area A, do we not have  
13      to also subtract 6,900 from Area B?

14      A.     Yes, you would.

15      Q.     And so that would be approximately the 13,800,  
16      and that alone would cause there to be more housing  
17      units on the Area A side, would it not?

18      A.     Yes.

19      Q.     Okay. And if I went down the analysis and we  
20      did the same thing for -- we took half of Washington  
21      County, it would be approximately 3,200?

22      A.     Yes.

1 Q. And half of Perry County would be approximately  
2 4,700?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And half of Jackson County would be  
5 approximately 13,400.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And according to my calculations which you said  
8 subject to check, does it sound about right that  
9 that would give us 282,268 houses in Area A and  
10 237,125 houses in Area B?

11 A. It sounds approximately right.

12 Q. Is that approximately 45,000 more?

13 A. Approximately.

14 Q. Okay. Going through the same exercise with  
15 respect to your column called Businesses, if we took  
16 the 13,726 total for Area A.

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And if we added back half of Clinton County  
19 which would be about 400.

20 A. Right.

21 Q. Half of Washington County which would be about  
22 200.

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Half of Perry County which would be about 225.

3 A. Right.

4 Q. And half of Jackson County which would be about  
5 704, would we arrive at approximately, or exactly,  
6 15,275?

7 A. I would say that sounds reasonable.

8 Q. And if we subtracted those, those half numbers,  
9 from the 14,357 total that you have here for Area B,  
10 would we come up with an end number of 12,807?

11 A. It sounds approximately right.

12 Q. And so aren't we looking at a difference of  
13 approximately 2,400?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And in that instance, again, there would be  
16 more businesses in Area A.

17 A. In Area A.

18 Q. More business in Area A?

19 A. Right.

20 Q. Sorry.

21 A. And the small businesses would obviously -- the  
22 adjustments would work the same way, if you were to

1 get more precise in the analysis, so that's valid.

2 Q. I don't have a specific page in your testimony,  
3 but don't you conclude somewhere in here, in your  
4 rebuttal testimony, that there are more houses and  
5 more businesses in Area B?

6 A. Based on just the simple adjustments I made for  
7 Macoupin and Effingham County, that is correct.

8 Q. But now the numbers that we've just gone  
9 through speak for themselves, don't they?

10 A. Yes, they do.

11 MR. FODOR: That's all I have, Your Honor.

12 JUDGE ALBERS: Any additional cross? Do you  
13 have any redirect?

14 MR. MOORE: Can I have three minutes outside?

15 JUDGE ALBERS: Actually let me ask one question  
16 myself here before we do that.

17 EXAMINATION

18 BY JUDGE ALBERS:

19 Q. Your first choice for a relief alternative is  
20 an overlay. Your second choice is Alternative No.  
21 8, keeping 618 on the eastern portion.

22 A. Right.

1 Q. Do you have a third choice?

2 A. We could also concur with Alternative 12 with  
3 618 retention on the eastern portion, Area B. We  
4 could also concur with Alternative 2 if retention of  
5 the 618 remained in Area B.

6 Q. And that's the east side as well?

7 A. Yes, the east side. Obviously our preference  
8 is to have an overlay done so that it really  
9 minimizes the customer inconvenience.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. To everyone's customers.

12 Q. And are there any that you adamantly oppose?

13 A. The concept of a split.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. As being unnecessary.

16 Q. Okay. There's no particular splits that you  
17 just couldn't live with.

18 A. There's no reason to do one, Your Honor.

19 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Fair enough.

20 Do you want a few minutes?

21 MR. MOORE: Yeah. If I can follow up on what  
22 you were just asking though, that's for all six

1 companies that you're testifying for. Is that  
2 correct?

3 THE WITNESS: Yes.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.

5 MR. MURPHY: May I ask him a question about his  
6 preferences that you just elicited?

7 CROSS EXAMINATION

8 BY MR MURPHY:

9 Q. This may have come up in your listing of the  
10 footprint for First Cellular, but is there a  
11 customer impact to any of your clients, including  
12 First Cellular, that's different between Alternative  
13 12 and Alternative 6?

14 A. I'm sorry, Mr. Murphy. Can you state that --

15 Q. Yeah.

16 A. Are you saying is there a difference in the  
17 customer impact?

18 Q. Is there a difference in the customer impact to  
19 your clients, and First Cellular is the one I think  
20 most likely, is there a difference in customer  
21 impact between Alternative 12 and Alternative 6?

22 A. Yes, there is.



1 Q. And is the same true between Alternative 12 and  
2 Alternative 11?

3 A. Yes.

4 MR. MURPHY: Thank you.

5 JUDGE ALBERS: Five minutes?

6 MR. MOORE: Yes, if that.

7 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Let's take five minutes.

8 (Whereupon a short recess was  
9 taken.)

10 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Back on the record.

11 Mr. Moore, do you have any redirect?

12 MR. MOORE: No, we don't.

13 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you, sir.

14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.

15 (Witness excused.)

16 JUDGE ALBERS: I believe next is Mr. Smith.

17 MR. SMITH: Thank you. I'd like to call

18 Mr. Wilkening.  
19  
20  
21  
22

1                                   **ALVIN D.    WILKENING**

2            called as a witness on behalf of Alhambra-Grantfork  
3            Telephone Company, having been first duly sworn, was  
4            examined and testified as follows:

5                                   DIRECT EXAMINATION

6                   BY MR. SMITH:

7           Q.    Please state your name, if you would, and spell  
8           your last name for the Court Reporter.

9                   THE WITNESS:

10          A.    It's Alvin D. Wilkening, W-I-L-K-E-N-I-N-G.

11          Q.    And, Mr. Wilkening, do you have before you the  
12          prefiled Direct Testimony of Alvin Wilkening marked  
13          as Alhambra-Grantfork Exhibit 1 consisting of four  
14          pages of testimony?

15          A.    Yes, I do.

16          Q.    If I were to ask you the same questions set  
17          forth therein, would your answers be the same?

18          A.    Yes, they would.

19               MR. SMITH:    Judge, I have nothing further and  
20          ask that Exhibit 1 be admitted subject to cross.

21               JUDGE ALBERS:    Any objection?    Hearing none,  
22          then the exhibit is admitted.

1                   (Whereupon Alhambra-Grantfork Exhibit 1  
2                   was received into evidence.)

3           MR. SMITH: I assume you have some cross of the  
4           witness.

5           JUDGE ALBERS: I've got one clarifying  
6           question.

7           MR. BUTTS: I have some questions.

8           JUDGE ALBERS: Oh, go ahead.

9           MR. BUTTS: I have a couple questions of this  
10          witness.

11                                   CROSS EXAMINATION

12          BY MR. BUTTS:

13          Q.   Mr. Wilkening, Edward Butts on behalf of  
14          Ameritech Illinois.

15                On page 2 of your testimony, the last Q and A,  
16          you indicate that your company prefers a geographic  
17          split only so long as the 618 area code is retained  
18          in Area A. Is that correct?

19          A.   That's correct.

20          Q.   Why?

21          A.   Part of that would be selfish reasons for our  
22          customers in our Alhambra-Grantfork area. We feel

1       that that would cause less confusion in our area as  
2       well as the metropolitan East St. Louis area.

3       Q.     I had one other question.  Oh.  You are  
4       proposing, if there were to be a split, Alternative  
5       6.  Is that correct?

6       A.     That is correct.

7       Q.     Do you have a map of Alternative 6 with you?

8       A.     Yes, I do.

9       Q.     Would it have any impact on your company if the  
10      Carlyle exchange, which is an Ameritech exchange,  
11      it's right near the eastern boundary of the split  
12      line of Alternative 6, would it have any impact on  
13      your company if the Carlyle exchange were moved into  
14      Area A if Alternative 6 were adopted?

15      A.     No, I would not have an objection to that.

16      Q.     You would have no problem with that.

17      A.     No.

18             MR. BUTTS:  Nothing further.

19             JUDGE ALBERS:  Any other questions of  
20      Mr. Wilkening?

21             JUDGE ALBERS:  My question kind of follows  
22      along Mr. Butts's.

## EXAMINATION

BY JUDGE ALBERS:

Q. So if the Alhambra and Grantfork exchanges did not retain the 618 area code along with the East St. Louis area, is your second choice an overlay?

A. Very mildly so. We feel that an overlay would cause problems to our customers with changing the dialing habits and so forth, but we feel that changing our area code would also have a very similar type effect to our customers, so.

Q. I guess I'm trying to identify specifically what would your second choice be if you couldn't have Alternative No. 6 with the western portion keeping 618?

A. Are you asking for alternative maps?

Q. Well, just if you couldn't have Alternative No. 6 with the western portion staying in the 618 area code, would you prefer an overlay or a different of the proposed alternatives?

A. The other alternatives, for example, Alternative 12 or Alternative 11, would be not that much more objectionable than Alternative 6.

1 Q. And you prefer those before you prefer an  
2 overlay?

3 A. Definitely.

4 Q. Okay. And that was Alternative 12 and?

5 A. 12 and 11.

6 Q. 12 and 11. With the west side keeping 618?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. Okay. And after that, like a third choice, if  
9 you had one?

10 A. I really didn't have a third choice.

11 Q. Okay. Are there any particular ones that you  
12 would oppose?

13 A. Not that I can state at this time, no.

14 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

15 MR. MURPHY: May I ask a clarifying question?

16 CROSS EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. MURPHY:

18 Q. If the premise was that 618 would be on the  
19 east side, would it be your preference to have some  
20 split map or an overlay?

21 A. Probably the confusion to our customers would  
22 be about the same for both, and, you know, it's

1 almost equal, but I think I'd prefer an overlay  
2 rather than be put in a new area code.

3 MR. MURPHY: Thank you.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

5 Mr. Brown [sic], did you have any redirect?

6 MR. SMITH: No, I do not.

7 JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.

8 (Witness excused.)

9 Let me take one minute here.

10 (Pause in the proceedings.)

11 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

12 MR. GUERRA: Verizon North Inc. and Verizon  
13 South Inc. would like to call the next witness,  
14 Mr. Matt Johnson.

15 C. MATTHEW JOHNSON  
16 called as a witness on behalf of Verizon North Inc.  
17 And Verizon South Inc., having been first duly  
18 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. GUERRA:

21 Q. Please state your name and address for the  
22 record.

1 THE WITNESS:

2 A. My name is Matt Johnson, J-O-H-N-S-O-N. My  
3 address is 131 East Empire, Bloomington, Illinois  
4 61701.

5 Q. And, Mr. Johnson, you have before you a  
6 document entitled the Direct Testimony of C. Matthew  
7 Johnson identified as Verizon Exhibit 2.0. Is that  
8 correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And do you recognize this document?

11 A. Yes, I do.

12 Q. If I were to ask you the questions contained  
13 therein today, would your answers be the same?

14 A. Yes, they would.

15 Q. And do you have any changes to this document?

16 A. No, I don't.

17 MR. GUERRA: I would move for the admission of  
18 Verizon Exhibit 2.0 and tender Mr. Johnson for  
19 cross-examination.

20 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection? Hearing none,  
21 then Verizon Exhibit 2.0 is admitted.

22 (Whereupon Verizon Exhibit 2.0 was



1 received into evidence.)

2 This is on e-Docket, right?

3 MR. GUERRA: Yes.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: And the Alhambra-Grantfork  
5 exhibit is on e-Docket?

6 MR. SMITH: It is.

7 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

8 Does anyone have any questions?

9 MR. FODOR: I wanted to ask maybe one or two,  
10 if nobody else has any.

11 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

12 CROSS EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. FODOR:

14 Q. I don't know if it's still morning. I'll say  
15 good morning, sir.

16 A. Good morning.

17 Q. I don't know if we've met face to face. My  
18 name is Troy Fodor.

19 A. Matt Johnson. Nice to meet you, Troy.

20 Q. I think everybody before the hearing started  
21 found out that I was playing with markers last  
22 night.

1       A.     Uh-huh.

2       Q.     For the record, I'm looking at some maps. I'm  
3     intending to hand them to the witness if no one  
4     objects. What I did is I took each of the  
5     alternative maps that are on the record that I  
6     considered to be in play, being 6, 9, 11, and 12,  
7     and I attempted to use the telephone exchange maps  
8     which used to be sold by the Clerk's Office, a  
9     revised version of which is available from the  
10    Illinois Telecommunications Association. I have  
11    them here if anybody doesn't trust my coloring. We  
12    can check it.

13           MR. BUTTS: I'd like to check it.

14           MR. FODOR: Would you? Here they are.

15                   (Laughter)

16           I've attempted to color the maps, and there's a  
17    legend on my Map 6 that shows what the colors mean.

18    Q.     I wanted to ask you some questions about where  
19    your switches are located so we can try to determine  
20    whether some of the other alternatives might -- how  
21    they affect you and how they impact you. I  
22    understand you're supporting 9. Is that correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 MR. FODOR: Okay. Counsel, do you mind if I  
3 approach?

4 MR. GUERRA: Go ahead.

5 MR. FODOR: You've seen these?

6 MR. GUERRA: Yes, I have.

7 MR. FODOR:

8 Q. What you've been handed there, sir, shows Map 6  
9 I believe.

10 A. Uh-huh.

11 Q. And it shows Verizon's exchanges colored in  
12 green.

13 A. Okay.

14 Q. Okay? It seems to me that the only place that  
15 Verizon is split is down toward the south, southwest  
16 central area, and since that was my only copy, I  
17 don't see which exchanges are split. Can you tell  
18 us which Verizon exchanges are on either side of the  
19 line?

20 A. Well, Pinckneyville and DuQuoin, Ava and  
21 Elkhville, and it looks like Ava and Murphysboro.

22 Q. Okay. And where you indicated three different

1 sets of two names, the line divides between those  
2 two.

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. Okay. Can you tell me basically where you've  
5 got a big switch and where you've got a remote  
6 switch in that general area so that that line  
7 wouldn't work for Verizon?

8 A. I don't have the switch information available  
9 to me. I'd be happy to get that if you needed it.

10 Q. Is switch information what you were relying on  
11 in recommending Alternative 9?

12 A. Yes, the host and remote, host and remote  
13 relationships. I'm not an engineer so I don't have  
14 the --

15 Q. Okay. And if we turned to the colored map of  
16 11 and the colored map of 12, you wouldn't have the  
17 switch information?

18 A. No, I don't. Not in front of me, no.

19 Q. It seems to me that Map 9 and Map 12 are very  
20 similar, so it might be worth the exercise to have  
21 you look at my colored Map 12 and my colored Map 9  
22 and maybe tell us what the differences are as far as

1 Verizon's exchanges are concerned.

2 A. If I'm not mistaken, the difference between 9  
3 and 12 for us was the difference between having the  
4 Marissa exchange included in Area A versus Area B,  
5 and I'm a little fuzzy on exactly where the line is  
6 around there, but if I'm understanding it correctly,  
7 in that area it splits -- the line would split  
8 Marissa and Tilden on Alternative 12, and in  
9 Alternative 9 for us in that area Marissa would be  
10 included in Area B.

11 Q. Is that the only thing that you can recall  
12 being wrong with Map 12?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. From Verizon's perspective?

15 A. Uh-huh.

16 Q. So if we fixed that line, if we amended Map 12,  
17 Verizon could support Map 12.

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Returning to Map 6, you don't remember or don't  
20 have the information with you to tell me what we'd  
21 have to do to that line to make it better for  
22 Verizon.

1       A.     For Verizon's purposes, making the line better  
2       on Alternative 6 would -- basically where it  
3       intersects the LATA line for the 362 LATA, if you  
4       followed it across to the -- going east to west,  
5       making it more similar to Alternative 9 in that  
6       respect, that would be more acceptable from our  
7       perspective.

8             MR. FODOR:   Okay.   I think that's all I have  
9       for him, Your Honor.   I just wanted to test the  
10      waters a little bit.

11            JUDGE ALBERS:   Okay.

12            MR. FODOR:   Thank you.

13            JUDGE ALBERS:   Any others?   No?

14                           EXAMINATION

15            BY JUDGE ALBERS:

16       Q.     Mr. Johnson, your first choice is an overlay.  
17       Your second choice is Alternative 9, according to  
18       your testimony.

19       A.     Yes.

20       Q.     Do you still prefer not to say which side of  
21       Alternative 9 should keep 618?

22       A.     I really -- I don't have a preference.   I guess

1       if you asked me my preference, it would probably be  
2       the eastern side. Area B I guess.

3       Q.     The eastern side you think should keep 618?

4       A.     Yes.

5       Q.     Is that just because I've asked you today or --

6       A.     Yeah.

7       Q.     -- do you have any --

8       A.     There really hasn't been any -- we haven't  
9       given that a lot of research.

10      Q.     All right. As far as a third choice then, your  
11      discussion with Mr. Fodor there seemed to suggest  
12      that perhaps with one minor change, Alternative 12  
13      could be acceptable, but do you have any other third  
14      choice, or should I even list Alternative 12 as a  
15      third choice?

16      A.     I think Alternative 12 with the change that we  
17      talked about would be okay, or alternative 10 would  
18      be fine as well.

19      Q.     I'm sorry. Did Alternative 10 need any  
20      changes, in your opinion, to be acceptable?

21      A.     No. It would be -- the impact would be the  
22      same, essentially the same for Verizon between 9 and

1 10.

2 Q. So 9 and 10 are pretty much interchangeable, in  
3 your opinion?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And with 10 and 12, no preference as far as  
6 which side keeps --

7 A. No.

8 Q. Okay. What was that one exchange in  
9 Alternative 12?

10 A. Marissa.

11 Q. Marissa?

12 A. I would say though that as far as just the  
13 sheer impact on a number of exchanges on any of the  
14 alternatives that we're talking about, Area B  
15 obviously would impact a lot more Verizon exchanges,  
16 so, again, given a preference, we would rather that  
17 Area B keep 618.

18 Q. Are there any of the alternatives that you  
19 strongly oppose?

20 A. I would say there's none specifically, but I  
21 would say any similar to what currently is -- well,  
22 Alternative 6 which is splitting -- basically kind



1 of splitting several Verizon groups of exchanges in  
2 half.

3 Q. So you would --

4 A. So you would be putting half in Area A and half  
5 in Area B that are contiguous.

6 Q. So you oppose Alternative 6.

7 A. I would think, yes, as it's currently shown.

8 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. That's all I had for you.  
9 Thank you.

10 Mr. Guerra, do you have any redirect?

11 MR. GUERRA: No redirect.

12 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thanks.

13 (Witness excused.)

14 MS. MANN-STADT: Ms. Smith, are you there?

15 MS. SMITH: Yes.

16 MS. MANN-STADT: Verizon Wireless would now  
17 call Dana Smith.

18

19

20

21

22

1                                   **DANA SMITH**

2           called as a witness on behalf of Verizon Wireless,  
3           having been first duly sworn on her oath, was  
4           examined and testified telephonically as follows:

5                                   DIRECT EXAMINATION

6                   BY MS. MANN-STADT:

7           Q.     Would you please go ahead and state your name  
8           and your business address for the record?

9                   THE WITNESS:

10          A.     Dana Smith, 6 Campus Circle, Westlake, Texas  
11          76262.

12          Q.     Ms. Smith, did you submit prefiled direct  
13          testimony on behalf of Verizon Wireless in this  
14          matter?

15          A.     Yes.

16          Q.     And do you have that testimony before you?

17          A.     Yes.

18          Q.     And is it marked for identification as Exhibit  
19          1.0 Smith?

20          A.     Yes.

21          Q.     And does it consist of six pages?

22          A.     Yes.

1 Q. Do you have any corrections, additions, or  
2 deletions to that exhibit?

3 A. No.

4 Q. And if I asked you those same questions today,  
5 would your responses be the same as stated in your  
6 prefiled testimony?

7 A. Yes.

8 MS. MANN-STADT: I have nothing further. We  
9 would move to admit Exhibit 1.0 Smith and tender the  
10 witness for cross.

11 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objections? Why don't we  
12 call this Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1.0 Smith, and it  
13 is admitted into the record.

14 (Whereupon Verizon Wireless Exhibit 1.0  
15 Smith was received into evidence.)

16 JUDGE ALBERS: Does anybody have any questions?

17 MR. STANTON: I have some questions.

18 JUDGE ALBERS: Mr. Stanton.

19 CROSS EXAMINATION

20 BY MR. STANTON:

21 Q. Good morning, Ms. Smith. My name is Tom  
22 Stanton. I'm with the Staff of the Illinois

1 Commerce Commission. I just have a couple questions  
2 for you.

3 A. Okay.

4 Q. Turning to page 5 of your direct testimony,  
5 beginning around -- beginning at line 103, you  
6 indicate that each split option will force Verizon  
7 Wireless and its customers to reprogram number  
8 changes for tens of thousands of handsets, and that  
9 being said, Alternatives 9, 11, and 12 are very  
10 similar and would cause the least customer  
11 disruption if Area B is permitted to retain the 618  
12 area code. Do you see that?

13 A. I'm sorry. What was that last part?

14 Q. Would cause the least customer disruption if  
15 Area B is permitted to retain the 618 NPA.

16 MS. MANN-STADT: Do you see that in the  
17 testimony, Ms. Smith?

18 Q. I'm just asking you if you see that in the  
19 testimony.

20 A. Yes, yes.

21 Q. Okay. Since the time you filed your direct  
22 testimony, have you had the opportunity to review

1 the testimony of the other parties in this  
2 proceeding?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And after having reviewed that testimony, did  
5 that cause you to change your position with respect  
6 to Area B?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Could you describe the change in position?

9 A. Upon further review of other parties' testimony  
10 as well as our own internal information, Verizon  
11 Wireless would support Area A retaining 618 in  
12 Alternatives 9, 11, and 12.

13 Q. Ms. Smith, does Verizon Wireless offer service  
14 in all areas of the 618 NPA?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And at page 1, line 24, of your direct  
17 testimony, you indicate that Verizon Wireless has  
18 tens of thousands of customers in the 618 area code?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Could you be more specific? I mean are we  
21 talking 20,000? 50,000? 90,000?

22 MS. MANN-STADT: If I can just interject, that

1 is proprietary information, but to the extent that  
2 you want an estimate, you might go, you know, 50,000  
3 more, 50,000 less, but I would caution the witness  
4 that it is proprietary information.

5 MR. STANTON: Okay.

6 Q. So would it be fair to say over 50,000? Would  
7 that be -- would you be comfortable in saying that,  
8 Ms. Smith?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay.

11 In the case of a split, you indicate that  
12 Verizon Wireless must reprogram each individual  
13 handset. Is that correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. How would that be accomplished?

16 A. The customers would be asked to come to a store  
17 location and have the handset physically  
18 reprogrammed by someone in the store.

19 Q. So Verizon Wireless operates a number of stores  
20 where the customers can bring back those phones?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. At those stores could they also buy or purchase

1 phones and other accessories at those stores?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. On page 4, lines 97 and 98 of your testimony,  
4 you state that Verizon Wireless would experience  
5 costs associated with customer education and network  
6 changes. Do you see that?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Okay. What customer education costs would  
9 Verizon Wireless incur?

10 A. The cost of reaching out to each of our  
11 customers, asking that they come in to stores to  
12 have their handsets reprogrammed through bill  
13 inserts, bill messages, direct mail pieces, things  
14 like that.

15 Q. Okay. Would those costs -- well, let me strike  
16 that.

17 Would there be any additional costs other than  
18 the ones you've described?

19 A. I can't think of any at this time.

20 Q. Okay. Now would the company also incur those  
21 costs in the case of an overlay?

22 A. To a certain extent.

1 Q. Okay. Are you suggesting that there would be  
2 lower costs in the case of an overlay?

3 A. It's usually easier to educate subscribers  
4 about an overlay rather than a split. Splits  
5 sometimes require that we reach out to customers  
6 numerous times so that we can reprogram their  
7 handset as opposed to an overlay.

8 Q. Okay. Now in the case of a split, what network  
9 costs would the company incur?

10 A. Announcement recordings so that we can  
11 implement announcements to tell the customer such as  
12 an overlay that they need to dial ten digits if they  
13 do happen to make a seven-digit call.

14 Q. Would the company also incur those costs, those  
15 network costs, in the case of an overlay?

16 A. In the case of a split?

17 Q. In the case of an overlay.

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Would they incur those same network costs in a  
20 split and in an overlay?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Have you had the opportunity to quantify the



1 additional costs that would result from a split over  
2 and above those costs incurred for an overlay?

3 A. No, I have not.

4 MR. STANTON: I have no further questions.

5 MR. MURPHY: I have some follow-up to his.

6 CROSS EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. MURPHY:

8 Q. Ms. Smith, this is Joe Murphy and asking  
9 questions on behalf of Cingular Wireless and Madison  
10 Telephone and Egyptian.

11 I'd like to go through some of the questions  
12 that Mr. Stanton asked you and ask you some  
13 follow-up questions.

14 A. Okay.

15 Q. Specifically with regard to reprogramming  
16 telephones, in the case of an overlay is there any  
17 reprogramming of telephones?

18 A. No.

19 Q. So when are telephones programmed with the new  
20 area code in the case of an overlay?

21 A. Reprogramming efforts cannot begin until  
22 permissive dialing starts. The permissive dialing

1 period is the opportunity for wireless carriers to  
2 reprogram handsets.

3 Q. Okay. But in the case of an overlay, and I  
4 want to come back to the point you just made, but in  
5 the case of an overlay, at what point, if ever, does  
6 a wireless carrier program a phone with a new NPA?

7 A. They don't because no numbers change in an  
8 overlay.

9 Q. Okay. In the case of reprogramming for a  
10 split, do you have any idea how long it takes  
11 physically for a technician to reprogram a  
12 telephone? Ten minutes? Two hours? Two days?

13 A. Somewhere between 10, 15, 20 minutes.

14 Q. Okay. Is there any revenue to the company  
15 associated with reprogramming a telephone?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Does Verizon have agents in the 618 area code,  
18 non-Verizon agents who sell on behalf of Verizon?

19 A. I believe so.

20 Q. Is there any income to the agents associated  
21 with reprogramming a telephone?

22 A. No.

1 Q. Do you know whether Verizon would have to pay  
2 its agents to reprogram the telephones?

3 A. I do not.

4 Q. Given that it takes a finite amount of time,  
5 and you estimated 15 minutes, to reprogram a  
6 telephone, do you know whether those agents or  
7 Verizon stores where the reprogramming takes place  
8 would have to add staff?

9 A. I'm not sure I can answer that.

10 Q. Is it possible they would have to add staff?

11 A. It's possible, yes.

12 Q. Do you know whether reprogramming would be done  
13 with regard to the customer on an appointment basis  
14 or an on demand basis?

15 A. Most likely on demand.

16 Q. So if that's the case, then the store has to  
17 have enough staff to handle demand whenever it  
18 occurs for reprogramming.

19 A. That would be ideal, yes.

20 Q. And that would be in addition to whatever sales  
21 you hope to make.

22 A. Yes.

1           MR. MURPHY: I don't have any further questions  
2 at the time.

3           MR. FODOR: May I follow up on his with one or  
4 two?

5           JUDGE ALBERS: Sure.

6           MR. FODOR: I apologize. I indicated I didn't  
7 have any cross for her, but I think I need to follow  
8 this up.

9                           CROSS EXAMINATION

10          BY MR. FODOR:

11         Q.    Hi. My name is Troy Fodor. I represent three  
12 of the small telephone companies on the St. Louis  
13 side of the line.

14               I think Mr. Murphy was just asking you about  
15 some reprogramming in addition to your other sales  
16 duties. Is that correct?

17         A.    Yes.

18         Q.    Okay. My question -- my question actually goes  
19 the opposite direction. Can't some of the  
20 reprogramming take place in connection with the new  
21 sales? Let me ask it a different way. Won't the  
22 new phones that you sell the next day after the

1       order comes out in this case have the new  
2       programming in them?

3       A.     I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?

4       Q.     Once we have a final decision on what we're  
5       going to do about overlay or split, wouldn't any new  
6       sales of cellular phones already include the  
7       reprogramming?

8       A.     No. That wouldn't happen until permissive  
9       dialing started.

10      Q.     If we were at the permissive dialing point in  
11      time, wouldn't all new sales after that point in  
12      time have the new programming in it?

13      A.     That is correct, after permissive dialing has  
14      started.

15      Q.     And when people buy new phones, do you have any  
16      estimates of what percentage of them are first time  
17      cellular users and what percentage of them are  
18      changing out old phones for new phones?

19      A.     No, I do not.

20      Q.     But would it be fair to say that it's some  
21      percentage?

22      A.     Yes.

1 Q. Do you have any idea how often Verizon  
2 Wireless's customers change out their old phones?

3 A. No, I don't.

4 Q. How long are your standard wireless contracts?

5 A. I don't know.

6 Q. Okay. When you market a wireless contract,  
7 isn't one of the inducements often a new phone?

8 A. Sometimes it may be, yes.

9 MR. FODOR: That's all I have, Your Honor.

10 JUDGE ALBERS: Any others? Okay.

11 EXAMINATION

12 BY JUDGE ALBERS:

13 Q. Ms. Smith, I take it you're not here today  
14 because you're based in Texas?

15 A. Pardon me?

16 Q. I take it that you're not here today because  
17 you're based in Texas?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. Did you see my e-mail yesterday that  
20 went out regarding attendance of witnesses?

21 A. Yes.

22 MS. MANN-STADT: That you would be asking her

1 her preferences? The answer to your question is  
2 yes, and I'm asking you which part of your e-mail  
3 you're questioning about.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: About witnesses attending. I've  
5 got a feeling there's probably some people here that  
6 wouldn't be here if not for the fact that I sent  
7 that e-mail out.

8 MR. SMITH: About stating alternative  
9 preferences.

10 MS. MANN-STADT: Right. She's aware that  
11 she'll be asked alternative preferences, if that's  
12 what you're asking.

13 JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Well, as far as even  
14 attending.

15 MS. MANN-STADT: Right, saying that you  
16 preferred to have them there, and I e-mailed you  
17 back saying that with one day notice --

18 JUDGE ALBERS: I didn't get that.

19 MS. MANN-STADT: I had sent out an e-mail  
20 earlier asking if there would be questions for this  
21 witness, and getting very little response that there  
22 would be cross of this witness, she did not make

1 travel plans, and then when I got your e-mail it was  
2 just a day before, and it's difficult for her to  
3 make travel plans.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: Well, I just want to recognize  
5 there are probably some here who made the trip even,  
6 and I understand she's in Texas, but.

7 MS. MANN-STADT: And our apologies. We  
8 certainly would have had her travel here if we had  
9 known that earlier.

10 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

11 Q. With that said then, Ms. Smith, your first  
12 choice is an overlay. Your second choice is options  
13 9, 11, or 12 with the west side retaining 618. Do  
14 you have a third choice?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Are there any particular ones that you oppose?

17 A. No.

18 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Do you have any redirect  
19 then?

20 MS. MANN-STADT: No.

21 JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Thank you.

22 (Witness excused.)



1 MR. BUTTS: Do one more?

2 JUDGE ALBERS: Well, off the record.

3 (Whereupon at this point in the  
4 proceedings an off-the-record discussion  
5 transpired.)

6 JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.

7 **CASSIE YANG**

8 called as a witness on behalf of Ameritech Illinois,  
9 having been first duly sworn, was examined and  
10 testified as follows:

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. BUTTS:

13 Q. Ms. Yang, would you state your full name,  
14 please, for the record?

15 THE WITNESS:

16 A. Cassie Yang, C-A-S-S-I-E Y-A-N-G.

17 Q. Do you have in front of you a copy of a  
18 document identified as Ameritech Illinois Exhibit  
19 1.1, Supplemental Direct Testimony of Cassie Yang?

20 A. I do.

21 Q. Is that your -- was that testimony prepared by  
22 you?

1 A. Yes, it was.

2 Q. Do you have any additions, corrections, or  
3 deletions for that testimony?

4 A. No, I don't.

5 Q. If I were to ask you the questions contained in  
6 that testimony, would your answers be the same?

7 A. Yes, they would.

8 Q. Do you wish to adopt this as your supplemental  
9 direct testimony in this proceeding?

10 A. Yes, I would.

11 Q. Do you also have in front of you what has been  
12 marked as Reply Testimony of Cassie Yang, Ameritech  
13 Illinois Exhibit 1.2?

14 A. I do.

15 Q. Is that your reply testimony?

16 A. Yes, it is.

17 Q. Are there any corrections, deletions, or  
18 additions to that testimony that you would like to  
19 make?

20 A. No, there aren't.

21 Q. If I were to ask you those questions, would  
22 your answers be the same?

1 A. Yes, they would.

2 Q. And do you adopt that as your reply testimony  
3 in this proceeding?

4 A. Yes, I do.

5 MR. BUTTS: Your Honor, I would ask for the  
6 admission of Ameritech Illinois Exhibits 1.1 and 1.2  
7 and tender Ms. Yang for cross-examination.

8 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection? Hearing none,  
9 then Exhibits 1.1 and 1.2 are admitted.

10 (Whereupon Ameritech Illinois Exhibits  
11 1.1 and 1.2 were received into evidence.)

12 MR. FODOR: Is it afternoon yet? Can I say  
13 good afternoon?

14 MR. BUTTS: It's still morning, four minutes.

15 CROSS EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. FODOR:

17 Q. Good morning. I'm not going to ask you a lot  
18 of questions. Were you in the room when your  
19 attorney asked the witness for Alhambra about a  
20 slight change to Map 6?

21 A. Yes, I was.

22 Q. And is it your understanding that the slight

1 change that he was asking about would be to take the  
2 Ameritech Carlyle exchange and shift it into Area A?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. And that in all other respects Map 6 would stay  
5 the same.

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. And with that change, could Ameritech support  
8 Map 6?

9 A. Map 6 would have the same impacts as  
10 Alternatives 11 and 13, so yes.

11 MR. FODOR: Thank you. That's all I have.

12 JUDGE ALBERS: Any others? Okay.

13 EXAMINATION

14 BY JUDGE ALBERS:

15 Q. So you're okay with Map 6, and what was that  
16 exchange again that would be moved?

17 A. Carlyle.

18 Q. Carlyle?

19 But if Alternative 6 remains the same, you  
20 would oppose it?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. Okay. And I assume under any alternative you

1       prefer that the west side retain 618?

2       A.     That's correct.   If Carlyle remains on the same  
3       side, if it does not change, then 6 actually becomes  
4       like a third split alternative; I guess our fourth  
5       choice alternative.   The overlay would be first.

6       Q.     So we've got overlay as the first, and then?

7       A.     11 and 13 is the second choice.   I'm sorry; 6,  
8       11, and -- well, the modified 6, 11, and 13 would be  
9       a second choice.

10      Q.     Right.

11      A.     A third choice would be 9 and 12, but those  
12      have more and more 9-1-1 impacts.

13      Q.     Okay.   And did you lump the existing -- then  
14      the existing number 6 would stay in the Oppose  
15      column?

16      A.     Right, the existing 6 would stay in the Oppose  
17      and 8 as well.

18             JUDGE ALBERS:   All right.   Thank you.

19             MR. BUTTS:   One question on redirect, if I may.

20                         REDIRECT EXAMINATION

21             BY MR. BUTTS:

22      Q.     Would you explain why it would be important to

1 Ameritech to have the Carlyle exchange moved into  
2 Area A if Alternative 6 were adopted?

3 A. Carlyle is a contiguous exchange that has local  
4 calling between Aviston, Breese, Beckemeyer,  
5 Germantown, I believe Trenton, but it's also a  
6 remote switch off of Breese, so we would not want to  
7 split our host and remote switches.

8 Q. And under Alternative 6, if Carlyle were to be  
9 moved over to Area B, would it be contiguous with  
10 any other Ameritech exchanges in Area B?

11 A. It would be contiguous with Greenville.

12 Q. But that's not a host/remote relationship?

13 A. Not with the Breese switch.

14 MR. BUTTS: Okay. Thank you.

15 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. All right. Thank you.

16 (Witness excused.)

17 Well, do you want to take an hour for lunch?

18 Okay.

19 (Whereupon lunch recess was taken until

20 1:00 P.M.)

21

22

A F T E R N O O N   S E S S I O N

(Whereupon Cingular Wireless 3 Rev.

was marked for identification.)

JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.

I hope everyone enjoyed their lunch.

Mr. Murphy, I believe, unless Mr. Fodor has a strong feeling --

MR. FODOR: No, no.

JUDGE ALBERS: Oh, okay. All right. Well, Mr. Murphy, go ahead.

MR. MURPHY: I would like to present Peter Long on behalf of Cingular Wireless by telephone, and just to clarify this before I get it going, we have filed on e-Docket under Receipt No. 19968 the prefiled testimony of Cingular Wireless Exhibit 3. When Mr. Long looks at his testimony, he will offer some changes, and I have provided to the Court Reporter an exhibit that's marked exhibit -- it's Cingular Wireless Exhibit 3 Rev., revised, and if anybody would prefer, particularly if the Judge would prefer, we will e-file a revised testimony or we can leave it this way, whichever is preference.

1 JUDGE ALBERS: If you've got a hard copy with  
2 handwritten changes in it, is that what you want to  
3 offer?

4 MR. MURPHY: Yes, that's what I've provided to  
5 the Court Reporter.

6 JUDGE ALBERS: That's fine.

7 MR. MURPHY: Okay. With that, Mr. Long, are  
8 you available?

9 MR. LONG: Yes.

10 MR. MURPHY: Okay.

11 **PETER J. LONG**

12 called as a witness on behalf of the Cingular  
13 Wireless, having been first duly sworn, was examined  
14 and testified telephonically as follows:

15 DIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. MURPHY:

17 Q. Would you please state your name, spell it for  
18 the record, and tell us where you work with your  
19 business address?

20 A. Peter J. Long. I'm at 2000 West Ameritech  
21 Center Drive, Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60195.

22 Q. You're breaking up a little, so if there's



1 anything you can do to adjust your microphone, I'd  
2 appreciate it.

3 A. I'll pick up the phone here.

4 Peter J. Long, at 2000 West Ameritech Center  
5 Drive in Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60195.

6 Q. And do you have in front of you a document  
7 that's marked Testimony on Reopening of Peter J.  
8 Long? Yours would be marked Exhibit 3, and it's  
9 made up of nine pages of questions and answers that  
10 ends on line 196?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Was this prefiled testimony prepared by you or  
13 at your direction?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. If I were to ask you these questions today,  
16 would these be your answers?

17 A. Yes, they would. However, I have some changes.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. On one of the answers.

20 Q. Okay. And I would direct you to page 8 of your  
21 prefiled testimony and ask you to carefully go  
22 through the changes in your answers so other people

1       here can mark down what we've marked down.

2       A.     Okay.  On page 8, line 165, beginning with  
3       "Generally", I wish to scratch "Generally" and add  
4       in its place "within the 618 NPA".

5             Also, where it says "there are two code types",  
6       I wish to scratch "two" and change it to "three".

7             Also on that line, after "RCC", I'd like to add  
8       a comma after "RCC" and add "SP2,"; that's as in Sam  
9       Patrick 2,.

10            On the next line, 166, I'd like to add a comma  
11       after "PMC" and then "31 SP2,".

12            On the next line, 167, I'd like to scratch  
13       "roughly 84" and in its place "100".

14            On line 168 I'd like to change "6" to "8".

15            On line 169 I'd like to scratch "roughly 43"  
16       and change it to "58".

17            On line 170 I'd like to scratch "13", change it  
18       to "18".

19            On line 173 I'd like to scratch "84" and change  
20       it to "100".

21            Also on that line, at the end scratch "6" and  
22       change it to "8".

1           On line 175, scratch "43" and change it to "58"  
2           and scratch "13" and change it to "18".

3           Q.    Are those all your changes?

4           A.    Yes.

5           Q.    With those changes, if I were to ask you the  
6           questions here, would these be your answers as  
7           amended?

8           A.    Yes.

9           MR. MURPHY:   And I would point out for the  
10          record that I have provided to the Court Reporter a  
11          copy of the exhibit with handwritten changes, and  
12          that is marked Cingular Wireless Exhibit 3 Rev.

13          With that, I would move for the admission of  
14          Cingular Wireless Exhibit 3 Rev. and tender the  
15          witness for cross-examination.

16          JUDGE ALBERS:   Any objection?   Hearing none,  
17          then Cingular Wireless Exhibit 3 Rev. is admitted.

18                       (Whereupon Cingular Wireless Exhibit  
19                       3 Rev. was received into evidence.)

20          Who has questions for Mr. Long?

21          MR. STANTON:   I have questions.

22          JUDGE ALBERS:   Mr. Stanton.

1 CROSS EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. STANTON:

3 Q. Good morning, Mr. Long. This is Tom Stanton.

4 I represent the Staff.

5 A. Good afternoon.

6 Q. Does Cingular offer service in all areas of the  
7 618 NPA?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Could you tell me which areas?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. If it would be easier just to exclude counties  
12 or if it would be easier just to name the counties.

13 A. Yes. I'll list the counties that we serve:

14 Calhoun County, Macoupin, Madison, Clinton, St.

15 Clair, Monroe, Bond, Fayette, Marion, and there are  
16 small portions of Shelby and Effingham that also  
17 fall into 618 that we serve.

18 Q. Okay. At page 4, line 24.

19 A. Page 4, line --

20 Q. I'm sorry. Strike that.

21 (Pause in the proceedings.)

22 In your testimony, and I apologize because I

1       can't find the reference, but you indicate that  
2       wireless carriers must individually reprogram most  
3       existing wireless numbers. Is that correct?

4       A.     Yes.

5       Q.     Why do you say most?

6       A.     We hope that as we transition our technology in  
7       the next few years, we will have the capability to  
8       do over-the-air activation changes. That capability  
9       does not exist today, nor will it exist for our base  
10      within this next year. However, I can't speak for  
11      some of the other wireless carriers, so I don't know  
12      if that capability is for some of the other wireless  
13      carriers. That's why I said most.

14      Q.     Okay. So as I understand your testimony,  
15      Cingular would require handsets to be reprogrammed  
16      in the case of a split. Is that correct?

17      A.     That's correct.

18      Q.     Okay. Do you know if AT&T Wireless would need  
19      to reprogram their handsets in the case of a split?

20      A.     In all likelihood, I would guess so, yes.

21      Q.     Okay. How about Sprint PCS?

22      A.     Yes, and for both cases depending on where the

1       split fell and where their customer base was homed  
2       out of, of course.

3       Q.     Okay.  Now directing your attention to page 8,  
4       the lines where you made the changes.

5       A.     Yes.

6       Q.     Could you define and describe what you mean by  
7       RCC, SP2, and PMC?

8       A.     Yes.  The national code administrator has  
9       defined several parameters within the Local Exchange  
10      Routing Guide, which is the official documentation  
11      for assigned codes throughout the country.  One of  
12      the categories is CO code type, and that's  
13      referenced in the Local Exchange Routing Guide as  
14      COC type.

15             Within those COC types there are four different  
16      values that could be considered wireless codes, code  
17      types.  Three of those types I found in the 618  
18      LERG, or Local Exchange Routing Guide, and those  
19      types are PMC, RCC, and SP2.

20             The definition to these is such that it says  
21      public mobile carrier, PMC, identifies a CO code  
22      that has been assigned as a 100 percent fully

1 dedicated Type 2 wireless interconnection. That's  
2 the PMC one. The RCC is radio common carrier, Type  
3 1 interconnected. That has been assigned as a 100  
4 percent fully dedicated Type 1 wireless  
5 interconnection. And then the third one, SP2, for  
6 service provider, miscellaneous service Type 2  
7 interconnected identifies the CO code that has been  
8 assigned as 100 percent fully dedicated Type 2  
9 wireless interconnection for such services as non-  
10 500 personal communication services.

11 MR. ADAMS: Hello?

12 THE WITNESS: Hello?

13 MR. ADAMS: This is Tom Adams.

14 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Off the record.

15 (Whereupon at this point in the  
16 proceedings an off-the-record discussion  
17 transpired.)

18 JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.

19 A. SP2 identifies a CO code that has been assigned  
20 as 100 percent fully dedicated Type 2 wireless  
21 interconnection for such services as non- 500  
22 personal communication services.

1 Q. Okay. When you refer to wireless carriers on  
2 line 64, for example, are you including paging  
3 companies?

4 A. I'm sorry. Could you identify that?

5 Q. Sure. Line --

6 A. Line 164?

7 Q. Page 8, you refer to -- and throughout your  
8 testimony you refer to wireless carriers. Do you  
9 include paging companies within that definition as  
10 used in your testimony?

11 A. If a paging company is a primary mobile  
12 carrier, a radio common carrier, or a non-500  
13 personal communications services carrier, as  
14 indicated by SP2 designation, then, yes, paging is  
15 included. However, my experience is that paging is  
16 most likely identified by the RCC designation rather  
17 than the PMC or SP2.

18 Q. Okay. Now if the Commission were to adopt a  
19 split, and let's say, for example, Alternative 12,  
20 do you know if paging companies would have to  
21 reprogram the actual paging unit, or could they do  
22 it through their switch?



1           A.     I don't know the answer to that.

2           MR. STANTON:   Okay.   That's all.

3           JUDGE ALBERS:   Okay.   I assume there are others  
4   that had questions for Mr. Long?

5           MR. MOORE:   Just two, one.

6           MR. FODOR:   I might have one.

7           JUDGE ALBERS:   Why don't we take a break from  
8   Mr. Long and address I believe the one request from  
9   Mr. Adams, the counsel for the City of Columbia who  
10   just joined us.

11           First, Mr. Adams, would you please enter your  
12   appearance?

13           MR. ADAMS:   Yes, sir.   Thank you, Judge.   My  
14   name is Tom Adams.   I'm the city attorney or  
15   corporate legal counsel for the City of Columbia,  
16   Illinois, which is located in Monroe County,  
17   Illinois.   My firm name is Adams and Huetsch.  
18   Huetsch is spelled H-U-E-T-S-C-H.   our office  
19   address in Columbia is P.O. Box 647, 321 Wedgewood  
20   Square, Columbia, Illinois.   My phone number is  
21   618/281-5185, and our fax number is 618 /281-5553,  
22   and our e-mail address is tdadams@htc.net.

1 JUDGE ALBERS: Very well. And so you know,  
2 earlier I granted your petition to intervene.

3 MR. ADAMS: Yes, sir.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: And as I understand it, the only  
5 thing you'd like to do today is offer into evidence  
6 the resolution of the city, a copy of which was  
7 attached to the Petition to Intervene. Is that  
8 correct?

9 MR. ADAMS: Yes, sir, that's correct.

10 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Would you please describe  
11 that resolution?

12 MR. ADAMS: It's a resolution that was enacted  
13 by the City Council of the City of Columbia on  
14 January 21 at its regular meeting on that date. I  
15 think its Resolution No. 14-2001. It's advocating  
16 and asking the Commission to enact the split  
17 jurisdiction method on the new NPA and to allow  
18 Monroe and St. Clair Counties to retain their 618  
19 area code. Basically it's asking to be heard on  
20 those issues or to state their position or request  
21 on those issues.

22 JUDGE ALBERS: So basically any split that

1 keeps the 618 area code for the City of Columbia,  
2 St. Clair, Madison, and Monroe Counties.

3 MR. ADAMS: Yes, sir.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

5 MR. ADAMS: And it is my understanding that if  
6 the Commission elects one of the alternatives, that  
7 we would have to dial something like ten digits or  
8 numbers for a local call. We're opposed to that,  
9 but it was my understanding the split jurisdiction  
10 method would not involve that.

11 JUDGE ALBERS: That's one outcome. I don't  
12 mean to be flippant, but that's probably the easiest  
13 way to surmise that.

14 The resolution I have is a two-page resolution  
15 with a copy of a certificate, a true copy, on the  
16 back. Is that all there is to it?

17 MR. ADAMS: Yes, sir.

18 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. I have an extra copy of  
19 that. I will offer that to the Court Reporter to be  
20 stamped. Would you have that marked as Columbia  
21 Exhibit 1? Mr. Adams?

22 MR. ADAMS: Yes, sir.

1 JUDGE ALBERS: Would it be your preference to  
2 have that marked as Columbia Exhibit 1?

3 MR. ADAMS: Yes, sir, please do.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: So.

5 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Is there any objection to  
6 this exhibit? Hearing none, then Columbia Exhibit 1  
7 is admitted.

8 (Whereupon Columbia Exhibit 1 was marked  
9 for identification and received into  
10 evidence.)

11 MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Judge.

12 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

13 MR. ADAMS: I guess we can sign off?

14 MR. BUTTS: Mr. Adams, we're very curious. Are  
15 you waiting in line to get married?

16 MR. ADAMS: No. I was 30 years ago.

17 MR. BUTTS: Okay.

18 MR. ADAMS: Thanks for the inquiry.

19 I think I hear the judge knocking on the door  
20 here, so.

21 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. All right. Well, thank  
22 you.

1 MR. ADAMS: Uh-huh. Bye now.

2 JUDGE ALBERS: Bye.

3 We're back with Mr. Long, and I believe Staff  
4 found something else.

5 MR. STANTON: Mr. Long, I just have one more  
6 question for you.

7 CROSS EXAMINATION (Cont'd)

8 BY MR. STANTON:

9 Q. When I was asking you questions regarding the  
10 definitions of SP2, RCC, and PMC, were you reading  
11 from a document?

12 A. This document -- yes.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. It's called the Telecordia Routing  
15 Administration.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. Central Office Code Assignment Guideline,  
18 Forms, Part 2.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. Pages 4 and 5.

21 MR. STANTON: And I would just ask counsel if  
22 they would submit the relevant pages as an exhibit

1 in the record.

2 MR. MURPHY: With leave of the Judge, we'll  
3 file those as Cingular Wireless Exhibit 3.1 or  
4 Attachment 3.1.

5 MR. STANTON: Okay.

6 JUDGE ALBERS: Is there any objection to  
7 admitting that into the record? No? Then we'll  
8 just make that a late-filed exhibit.

9 (Whereupon Cingular Wireless Late-Filed  
10 Exhibit 3.1 was received into evidence.)

11 Is that it, Mr. Stanton?

12 MR. STANTON: That's it.

13 JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Mr. Moore, did you  
14 have a few questions?

15 MR. MOORE: Yes, just a couple.

16 CROSS EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. MOORE:

18 Q. Mr. Long, I understand that included in the NXX  
19 codes for the cellular numbers would be numbers  
20 assigned to paging companies. Is that correct?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Do you know what the breakdown between paging

1       and cellular would be?

2       A.    No, I don't.  I'd only guess that the paging  
3       companies are the RCC identified codes.

4       Q.    And there are three of those?

5       A.    Correct.

6       Q.    And there would not be any paging within the  
7       other types, the SP2 or the PMC?

8       A.    My experience is the PMC are mostly the basic  
9       old, older established cellular companies, and the  
10      SP2s would be the newer, within the past whatever,  
11      five years or so, PCS type carriers.

12      Q.    Do you have any reason to suspect any breakdown  
13      in which side of the -- the west or the east side of  
14      the lines we're looking at the paging companies  
15      would fall?

16      A.    No, although that information might be  
17      available within the Local Exchange Routing Guide.  
18      That is, the rate center assignments for any of  
19      these code types is available for the paging  
20      companies as well as for the other carriers, and  
21      they can be identified and matched up against any of  
22      these alternatives on the map.

1 MR. MOORE: That's all I have.

2 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

3 MR. SMITH: Mr. Long, my name is Gary Smith. I  
4 just have a couple questions.

5 CROSS EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. SMITH:

7 Q. Does Cingular have any customers in the 618  
8 area that have analog handsets?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Would that be a large majority of the customers  
11 or a small fraction? Do you have any estimate?

12 A. It would be a substantial amount. It may be a  
13 minority, but it would be certainly a substantial  
14 minority.

15 Q. Well, if you say it's a substantial minority,  
16 does that mean then that you also have digital  
17 subscribers there as well?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Will there come a time with the digital  
20 technology that you have that the analog handsets  
21 will have to be replaced?

22 A. Yes.



1 Q. Is that fairly soon on the timeline?

2 A. I think it can't be soon enough from our  
3 perspective. However, we have customers that have  
4 phones that are several years old, and it is a  
5 difficult task to get customers to change out  
6 sometimes their older equipment.

7 Q. When you say it can't happen soon enough from  
8 your perspective, why would that be?

9 A. It would be easier for network operations to  
10 manage a single technology rather than both a  
11 digital and analog service.

12 MR. SMITH: Okay. That's all I have.

13 CROSS EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. FODOR:

15 Q. Mr. Long, my name is Troy Fodor. I think I  
16 indicated earlier I represent a couple of the small  
17 companies on the St. Louis side of the line. I  
18 really just have one question for you.

19 We're talking about reprogramming the wireless  
20 handsets, and my question to you, sir, is isn't this  
21 a marketing opportunity for the wireless company to  
22 contact their customers and seek to sell more

1 services?

2 A. We could look upon it that way. However, the  
3 distress and burden far outweighs any possible  
4 benefits of selling a small accessory to a customer.  
5 If we don't sell any accessories to get our  
6 customers not to be forced to reprogram their  
7 phones, we choose that every single time.

8 Q. But couldn't you also sell more phones and  
9 extended service packages?

10 A. We wouldn't sell new lines, that's for sure,  
11 because these customers would already be customers,  
12 and we just would be forcing them to do something  
13 that they wouldn't normally do. New customers, of  
14 course, wouldn't be having to reprogram their  
15 service on our system to another number on our  
16 system.

17 Q. That actually reminds me of a question I asked  
18 the Verizon South witness. Were you on the phone  
19 when I was questioning her?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Do you know what percentage --

22 MS. MANN-STADT: Excuse me.

1 MR. FODOR: I'm sorry.

2 MS. MANN-STADT: Verizon Wireless?

3 MR. FODOR: I meant Verizon Wireless. I  
4 apologize. I'm terribly sorry.

5 MS. MANN-STADT: That's okay.

6 MR. FODOR:

7 Q. Do you know how often your average customer  
8 changes out his wireless phone?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Okay. Do you know how long your standard  
11 service contract is for?

12 A. I believe a large portion of our service  
13 contracts are for two years. There may be some that  
14 are less than that. There may be some legacy  
15 contracts out there that may be different, and then  
16 we also have a substantial prepay base which, of  
17 course, there's no contract.

18 Q. And when those contracts come to an end, those  
19 customers have to come back in to either one of your  
20 sales locations or one of your retailers' locations  
21 to extend the contracts?

22 A. I don't know of a physical requirement.

1       There's things that could be done with faxes and  
2       things where not necessarily a customer would have  
3       to physically come in to the service center.

4               MR. FODOR:   Okay.   That's all I have.

5               MR. BUTTS:   Pete, this is Edward Butts.   I have  
6       just one question as a follow-up to what Mr. Fodor  
7       was asking.

8                               CROSS EXAMINATION

9               BY MR. BUTTS:

10       Q.     If customers were required to make a service  
11       visit to have their phones reprogrammed as part of a  
12       geographic split, would that also provide a  
13       marketing opportunity for competitive carriers to  
14       solicit those customers?

15       A.     Yes.

16               MR. BUTTS:   Nothing further.

17               JUDGE ALBERS:   Any others?   I have just one or  
18       two questions.   I'm sure you can expect what they  
19       are.

20                               EXAMINATION

21               BY JUDGE ALBERS:

22       Q.     Your first choice was the overlay.   From your

1 testimony I gather that any geographic split which  
2 keeps 618 on the west side of the split line is  
3 preferred. Is that correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. You don't have any more specific preference  
6 beyond that?

7 A. I don't have a preference. There's two  
8 alternatives that are unrealistic ones, but the ones  
9 that are realistic, that is ones for which the  
10 projected lives are much more balanced, will require  
11 us in either case to have -- in other words, our  
12 customers will fall on both sides, both Area A and  
13 Area B, in the realistic alternatives, so we'll be  
14 forced to have our customers reprogram phones  
15 regardless. We hope to minimize that. That's why  
16 our -- certainly our (telephonically inaudible) --  
17 just even for our customers our first and most  
18 important choice is for the overlay.

19 MR. MURPHY: Pete, could you repeat your answer  
20 to the last question, please? The Court Reporter  
21 didn't get all of it.

22 A. We hope to minimize the burden to our customers

1 as well as to other customers, but in any case our  
2 customers will be forced -- a portion of our  
3 customers will be forced to reprogram their phones.  
4 We hope to minimize that and believe it's best that  
5 the Area A, the west, keep the 618 NPA.

6 Q. Okay. Were there any split alternatives that  
7 you object to?

8 A. We object to all of them. The least burdensome  
9 ones would be Alternatives 12, 11, 9, and 13.

10 Q. Those are the least burdensome?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And you just flat out oppose the rest?

13 A. Yes.

14 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. All right. Thank you.

15 MR. MURPHY: I have a couple of redirect.

16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. MURPHY:

18 Q. Pete, this is Joe. I have a couple of redirect  
19 questions.

20 First of all, Mr. Fodor asked you about  
21 contract extensions. Just hypothetically, if I have  
22 a two-year contract with Cingular Wireless and that

1 two years runs out in January, on February the 1st  
2 do you cut off my phone service?

3 A. No.

4 Q. What happens to it?

5 A. We try to re-up the service with the customer.

6 Q. It doesn't go month to month?

7 A. I'd have to check to be certain, but certainly  
8 we would involve our customer care folks to try to  
9 establish or reconfirm extending the service with  
10 the customer. We certainly don't want to disconnect  
11 customers without -- customers' service without  
12 giving them an opportunity to discuss continuing the  
13 service with them.

14 Q. So it wouldn't be an absolute requirement that  
15 somebody at the end of their contract make contact  
16 with Cingular.

17 A. We would try to make contact with them if they  
18 did not. We do try to make contact with them in  
19 every case I'm aware of.

20 MR. MURPHY: Okay. That was all.

21 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

22 Thank you, Mr. Long.

1 (Witness excused.)

2 Mr. Fodor, do you have --

3 MR. FODOR: Oh, I'm next? I just figured you'd  
4 do the rest of Joe's.

5 JUDGE ALBERS: Off the record.

6 (Whereupon at this point in the  
7 proceedings an off-the-record discussion  
8 transpired.)

9 JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.

10 Go ahead, Mr. Fodor.

11 MR. FODOR: Okay. At this time Home Telephone  
12 Company calls Eric Schmidt.

13 **ERIC A. SCHMIDT**

14 called as a witness on behalf of Home Telephone  
15 Company, having been first duly sworn, was examined  
16 and testified as follows:

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. FODOR:

19 Q. Will you please state your name and spell your  
20 last name for the Court Reporter, sir?

21 THE WITNESS:

22 A. Okay. My name is Eric, middle initial A.,



1 Schmidt, S-C-H-M-I-D-T.

2 Q. And did you prefile -- did you prepare and  
3 prefile some direct testimony in this proceeding?

4 A. Yes, I did.

5 Q. And is it marked as Home Telephone Company  
6 Exhibit 1.0?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Do you have any corrections to that document?

9 A. No.

10 Q. If I were to ask you the questions contained in  
11 that document today, would you give the same  
12 answers?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And it's your understanding that that document  
15 presently exists on e-Docket.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Did you also prepare and prefile some rebuttal  
18 testimony?

19 A. Yes, I did.

20 Q. And is it identified as Home Telephone Company  
21 Exhibit 2.0?

22 A. Yes.

1 Q. Does that testimony have attached to it and  
2 referenced therein certain schedules identified as  
3 Exhibit 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4?

4 A. Yes, it does.

5 Q. Does Exhibit 2.1 show your calculations of  
6 population for the map Alternative 6?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Does Exhibit 2.2 show your map alternatives --  
9 excuse me -- your calculations for map Alternative  
10 12?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Does Exhibit 2.3 show your calculations for map  
13 Alternative No. 9?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And does 2.4, Exhibit 2.4, show your  
16 calculations for map Alternative No. 11?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. If I were to ask you the questions contained in  
19 the prepared rebuttal testimony today, would you  
20 give the same answers?

21 A. Yes, I would.

22 MR. FODOR: Okay. That's all I have. I would

1 tender the witness for cross-examination. I would  
2 offer Home Telephone Company Exhibits 1.0, 2.0, 2.1,  
3 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection? Hearing none,  
5 then all of the exhibits are admitted.

6 (Whereupon Home Telephone Company  
7 Exhibits 1.0, 2.0, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3,  
8 and 2.4 were received into  
9 evidence.)

10 MR. MOORE: I've got some.

11 CROSS EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. MOORE:

13 Q. Mr. Schmidt, my name is Steve Moore.

14 Turning your attention to page 4 of Exhibit 1,  
15 toward the bottom of the page, line 17, you state:  
16 "I am sure that there will be a great number of  
17 inadvertent toll calls that should have been priced  
18 as local calls." Can you please explain for me the  
19 mechanism of what you're expecting to happen there?

20 A. Can I read it first so I can refresh my memory?

21 Q. Sure.

22 (Pause in the proceedings.)

1       A.    I'm not quite sure exactly what that means  
2       other than -- I'd have to say in hindsight I'm not  
3       quite sure what that sentence means.  However, it's  
4       kind of superfluous to my main argument, so, but,  
5       yeah, offhand I don't know exactly what that means.

6       Q.    Let me ask you this.  Does Home Telephone allow  
7       its customers the ability to make calls that would  
8       be billed or rated as a toll call by only dialing  
9       seven digits?

10      A.    Yes.

11      Q.    Is that possible?

12      A.    Yes.

13      Q.    Okay.  So one cannot tell whether one is  
14      dialing a toll call by the number of digits one  
15      dials.

16      A.    No.

17      Q.    In Home Telephone Company.

18      A.    No.

19           MR. MOORE:  Okay.  I have no other questions.

20           MR. BUTTS:  I have just a couple of

21      Mr. Schmidt.

22           I'm Edward Butts on behalf of Ameritech

1 Illinois.

2 CROSS EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. BUTTS:

4 Q. On that same page with the same testimony that  
5 Mr. Moore was asking you about, is it your  
6 impression that if an overlay is adopted with  
7 mandatory ten-digit dialing, that there would be  
8 some local calls that would be dialed with ten  
9 digits and other calls would be dialed with eleven?

10 A. Not being a switching engineer, if one would be  
11 required to dial a toll call and ten digits would be  
12 required for local calls, then I would have to say  
13 that, yes, there would be some with eleven and some  
14 with ten.

15 Q. If, in fact, all calls in an overlay scenario  
16 were dialed with a consistent eleven-digit pattern,  
17 there was just one dialing pattern, eleven digits  
18 for every call, would that resolve many of the  
19 concerns that you express here?

20 A. If all calls were dialed with eleven digits,  
21 then, no, I think -- well, just thinking about it,  
22 then there is no way they would be able to determine

1       whether it would be a toll call or not if all calls  
2       were dialed under the same -- I'm guessing. I mean  
3       I don't know exactly what you're asking me.

4       Q.     I'm saying if there's just one single dialing  
5       pattern, eleven numbers for every call a customer  
6       makes, do you think that would cause the kinds of  
7       confusion you've expressed here?

8       A.     I don't know I guess. To be honest with you, I  
9       don't know exactly -- I mean I suppose.

10      Q.     Okay. And I think you just said to Mr. Moore  
11      that today you can't tell whether it's a local or a  
12      toll call based on the number of digits, can you?

13      A.     Not based on the number of digits, no.

14      Q.     Okay.

15      A.     But we only have a single exchange, and  
16      everything outside of our exchange is a toll call,  
17      so I think our customers are aware that if it's not  
18      644, it's a toll call.

19      Q.     As I understand your position, your company  
20      favors a geographic split, and you're recommending  
21      Alternative 6, and you want to keep 618 in Area A.  
22      Is that correct?

1       A.    Yes, that's correct.

2       Q.    If the Commission were to make a determination  
3       to do an area code split but were to assign the 618  
4       area code to Area B in the eastern part of the  
5       state, would your company still prefer the  
6       geographic split over the overlay?

7       A.    Absolutely.

8       Q.    Okay.  Last question, on Alternative No. 6, do  
9       you have a map that shows Alternative No. 6?

10      A.    No, I don't.

11           MR. FODOR:  I could hand him mine.

12           MR. BUTTS:  Would you, please, for just a  
13      second?

14                   (Whereupon said document was  
15                   provided to the witness.)

16      Q.    My question is, if the Carlyle exchange, which  
17      is an Ameritech exchange, is right in the -- if you  
18      look at the proposed boundary, it's just to the east  
19      of the boundary line.

20      A.    Yes.

21      Q.    Do you see the Carlyle exchange?

22      A.    Yes.

1 Q. If that exchange were moved into Area A as part  
2 of the Alternative 6 proposal, would that have any  
3 impact on your company?

4 A. No. In fact, however, I guess I am close to  
5 that area, and so just speaking on behalf of my  
6 customers, they probably would approve of Carlyle  
7 being in the same area code as our customers because  
8 of the lake and a lot of the things they do.

9 Q. Which would be Area A.

10 A. It would be Area A. That's correct.

11 MR. BUTTS: Thank you.

12 JUDGE ALBERS: Do others have other questions  
13 of Mr. Schmidt?

14 MR. STANTON: I just have a couple questions.

15 CROSS EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. STANTON:

17 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Schmidt. Tom Stanton.

18 A. Good afternoon.

19 Q. Now as I understand your testimony, if I'm in  
20 the St. Jacob exchange, and that's Home Telephone's  
21 Exchange?

22 A. Uh-huh.



1 Q. I can dial anywhere in the 618 area code  
2 dialing seven digits?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Is that correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Now turning your attention to page 4, lines 21  
7 and 22, of your direct testimony.

8 A. Uh-huh.

9 Q. Do you see where you state that an overlay will  
10 also cause difficulty for people outside the area  
11 code trying to call in? Do you see that?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. Now what you mean -- strike that.

14 Now when you refer to that area code, do you  
15 mean an area code other than 618 and the new overlay  
16 code, whatever that overlay code might be?

17 A. Yeah, basically if you have an overlay and  
18 there's now two area codes overlaying.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. I mean, just for clarification, if for whatever  
21 reason, you know, two area codes existed within our  
22 exchange, no longer would the seven-digit number

1 identify them. I mean, again, they would have to  
2 actually know the area code to get a hold of the  
3 right customer.

4 Q. So when you're talking or when you're -- what  
5 your testimony says there is -- strike that.

6 So the area code that you're referring to is  
7 like a 312, 773, or some area code other than 618.

8 A. Something other than 618.

9 MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you. That's it. No  
10 further questions.

11 JUDGE ALBERS: Anyone else? No? Okay.

12 EXAMINATION

13 BY JUDGE ALBERS:

14 Q. Alternative 6 is your first choice.  
15 Alternatives 9, 11, and 12 are your second choice,  
16 as I understand it.

17 A. Actually, for ranking, 6 I think would be my  
18 number one, 11 would be my second, and 12 would be  
19 my third.

20 Q. Just get rid of No. 9?

21 A. I mean honestly none of them -- I mean I'm all  
22 in Area A regardless, so it doesn't really matter to

1 me from a personal standpoint. My goal would be to  
2 see most rate centers, if not all, and all  
3 independent phone companies keep their contiguous  
4 exchanges within one particular area.

5 Q. So you feel the same then about 9, 11, and 12.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. That's what I wanted to know.

8 And as long as the west side keeps the 618 area  
9 code, is that the condition you --

10 A. No, there's no -- I have no condition. I  
11 believe that the numbers that I presented support  
12 the west side, or Area A, keeping the 618 area code,  
13 but if it was, you know, the difference between not  
14 keeping 618 and going to an overlay, I would not  
15 keep 618. I under no circumstances want an overlay.

16 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.

17 Do you have any redirect?

18 MR. FODOR: I think Mr. Murphy -- well, I'll  
19 ask it.

20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. FODOR:

22 Q. Eric, in your testimony you did say that the

1 small companies, you know, we should try to keep the  
2 small companies together and not split them up. I  
3 believe Map No. 9 splits up Egyptian.

4 A. Oh, okay. Well, in that case I do not support  
5 Map No. 9. Not looking at Map No. 9 offhand, I  
6 stated that I did not wish to disrupt any of the  
7 independent telephone companies, and so if No. 9  
8 would do that, then by all means I do not support  
9 number 9.

10 MR. FODOR: That's all.

11 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. And 11 and 12 you still  
12 --

13 THE WITNESS: Yeah, 6 and 11 and 12 are really  
14 -- given three choices, 6, 11 and 12 would be...

15 MR. MURPHY: And I have to stop and say if 12  
16 splits Egyptian, then you don't support that either.

17 THE WITNESS: If 12 splits Egyptian, I do not  
18 support it. I do not support any independent  
19 exchanges being split.

20 JUDGE ALBERS: Does 12 split Egyptian?

21 MR. MURPHY: Yes, 12 splits Egyptian.

22 Oh, I'm sorry. You're right. It doesn't.

1 I'll state for the record it does not split  
2 Egyptian. It just splits Egyptian on the opposite  
3 side from the St. Louis metro area.

4 EXAMINATION

5 BY JUDGE ALBERS:

6 Q. Okay. All right. So just so we're all clear  
7 then, first choice is 6.

8 A. 6.

9 Q. Your second choice is 11 or 12.

10 A. 11 or 12.

11 Q. And it would just be your preference to keep  
12 618 on the west side of the line in both your second  
13 and first choices.

14 A. Right, and they're not just preference. I  
15 think, like I said, my numbers -- that would -- I  
16 think it just makes more sense from a customer  
17 impact standpoint to have 618 stay in the Area A,  
18 but preference, yeah, I would say I would also  
19 prefer not to have to change my area code.

20 Q. But you do oppose an overlay.

21 A. Yes.

22 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. All right. Thank you.

1 (Witness excused.)

2 Q. At this time Harrisonville Telephone Company  
3 would like to call Mr. John C. Meyer.

4 **JOHN C. MEYER**

5 called as a witness on behalf of Harrisonville  
6 Telephone Company, having been first duly sworn, was  
7 examined and testified as follows:

8 DIRECT EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. FODOR:

10 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Meyer.

11 THE WITNESS:

12 A. Good afternoon.

13 Q. Will you go ahead and state your name and spell  
14 your last name for the Court Reporter?

15 A. My name is John C. Meyer, M-E-Y-E-R.

16 Q. Okay. And have you prepared and prefiled in  
17 this docket direct testimony?

18 A. Yes, I have.

19 Q. Is that testimony identified as Harrisonville  
20 Telephone Company Exhibit 1.0?

21 A. Yes, it is.

22 Q. Is it your understanding that that exhibit

1       presently exists someplace out there electronically  
2       on the Commission's e-Docket system?

3       A.     I understand it does.

4       Q.     If I asked you those questions today that are  
5       contained on that document, would you give the same  
6       answers?

7       A.     Yes.

8       Q.     And you had no corrections.

9       A.     No.

10      Q.     Have you also prepared and prefiled Rebuttal  
11      Testimony of John C. Meyer?

12      A.     Yes, I have.

13      Q.     And is it identified as Harrisonville Telephone  
14      Company Exhibit 2.0?

15      A.     Yes, it is.

16      Q.     And is it also on e-Docket?

17      A.     Yes.

18      Q.     Do you have any corrections to make to that  
19      document?

20      A.     There is one correction. On line number 12,  
21      page number 2, delete the words "Verizon Wireless".

22      Q.     Okay. With that change, if I asked you those

1 questions today, would you give the same answers?

2 A. Yes, I would.

3 MR. FODOR: At this time I would tender the  
4 witness for any cross-examination. I would offer  
5 Harrisonville Telephone Company Exhibit 1.0 and 2.0  
6 into the record.

7 Your Honor, I have an extra copy with the  
8 revision marked. I can give it to the Court  
9 Reporter. Previously didn't you change something to  
10 an Rev. numbering designation?

11 JUDGE ALBERS: If it's revised, yes,  
12 Harrisonville 2.0 Revised.

13 MR. FODOR: Okay.

14 (Whereupon Harrisonville Exhibit 2.0  
15 Revised was marked for  
16 identification.)

17 MR. MURPHY: I have one question.

18 JUDGE ALBERS: Well, wait. Is there any  
19 objection to either of these exhibits?

20 MR. BUTTS: Excuse me?

21 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection to either exhibit?  
22 No. Okay. Hearing none, then they're both



1 admitted.

2 (Whereupon Harrisonville Telephone  
3 Company Exhibits 1.0 and 2.0 Revised  
4 were received into evidence.)

5 CROSS EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. MURPHY:

7 Q. I have one question about communities of  
8 interest, Mr. Meyer. Do you happen to know whether  
9 Baldwin and Red Bud share the same school district?

10 A. Yes, they do.

11 MR. MURPHY: No further questions.

12 CROSS EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. BUTTS:

14 Q. I have just one question, Mr. Meyer, and I've  
15 asked the same question of other witnesses. Would  
16 it cause any problems for your company if the  
17 Commission were to adopt Alternative No. 6 if the  
18 Carlyle exchange were moved into Area A?

19 A. No.

20 MR. BUTTS: Thank you.

21 JUDGE ALBERS: Any others?

22 MR. STANTON: I just have a couple questions.

1 MR. MOORE: Briefly.

2 MR. STANTON: Go ahead.

3 CROSS EXAMINATION

4 BY MR. MOORE:

5 Q. Mr. Meyer, looking at your direct testimony,  
6 page 4 toward the bottom of the page you discuss  
7 what customers expect when they dial seven and 1+  
8 ten digits. Is it possible for a customer to make a  
9 toll call with just seven-digit dialing?

10 A. We don't allow seven-digit dialing to make a  
11 toll call.

12 Q. It's all either local or extended.

13 A. That's right.

14 MR. MOORE: Okay. No other questions.

15 JUDGE ALBERS: Mr. Stanton.

16 MR. STANTON: Okay. He may have answered my  
17 question.

18 Yeah, that's fine. I have no questions.

19 JUDGE ALBERS: You do or you don't allow toll  
20 calls for seven digits?

21 THE WITNESS: We don't.

22 JUDGE ALBERS: Don't. Okay.

1 Any others? Okay.

2 EXAMINATION

3 BY JUDGE ALBERS:

4 Q. Can I take it that you would support the same  
5 list in order of preferences as Mr. Schmidt?

6 A. Exactly the same.

7 Q. And would you oppose an overlay?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Just outright?

10 A. Definitely.

11 Q. And still Alternative No. 8?

12 A. Yeah. We oppose it no doubt because it splits  
13 our territory.

14 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.

15 Do you have any redirect?

16 MR. FODOR: Can I follow-up? It's real simple.

17 JUDGE ALBERS: Redirect.

18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. FODOR:

20 Q. For your customers, John, when they punch a one  
21 on their phone, it's always a toll call.

22 A. Yes.

1 Q. And so if an overlay is implemented and they  
2 had to dial, as Mr. Butts was asking of Eric, if  
3 they have to dial a standard eleven digit, your  
4 customers may be confused thinking they're dialing  
5 toll calls.

6 A. Yeah.

7 Q. And I'm not sure the question was asked to you  
8 the same way it was asked to Eric, so let me restate  
9 it.

10 A. Okay.

11 Q. If you had a choice between a split where you  
12 didn't get to keep 618 and an overlay, what would be  
13 your choice?

14 A. Split.

15 MR. FODOR: Okay. Thank you.

16 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thanks.

17 (Witness excused.)

18 MR. MURPHY: Egyptian Telephone Cooperative  
19 Association has called Matt Bollinger to the stand.

20

21

22

1 MATTHEW JAMES BOLLINGER

2 called as a witness on behalf of Egyptian Telephone  
3 Cooperative Association, having been first duly  
4 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

5 DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. MURPHY:

7 Q. Mr. Bollinger, could you please state your  
8 name, spell it for the record, and give your  
9 business, title and address?

10 THE WITNESS:

11 A. Yeah. Matthew James Bollinger. Last name is  
12 B-O-L-L-I-N-G-E-R. Business address is 1010 West  
13 Broadway Street, Steeleville, Illinois 62288.

14 Q. Mr. Bollinger, do you have in front of you some  
15 questions and answers that are numbered pages 1  
16 through 14 and ending on line 325?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Is this your direct testimony that was  
19 submitted on behalf of Egyptian in this case?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Was it prepared by you or at your direction?

22 A. Yes.

1 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, it was filed  
2 on the e-Docket under Receipt No. 19970?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And if I were to ask you these questions here  
5 today, would these be your answers?

6 A. Yes.

7 MR. MURPHY: With that, I would move to admit  
8 Egyptian Telephone Cooperative Association Exhibit 2  
9 and tender the witness for cross-examination.

10 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection? Hearing none,  
11 then the exhibit is admitted.

12 (Whereupon Egyptian Telephone Cooperative  
13 Association Exhibit 2 was received into  
14 evidence.)

15 JUDGE ALBERS: Any questions for the witness?

16 MR. STANTON: I have a couple.

17 CROSS EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. STANTON:

19 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bollinger. Tom Stanton.

20 A. Good afternoon.

21 Q. Now if I'm in Egyptian's Blair exchange and I  
22 call a customer in Egyptian's Oakdale exchange, how

1       many digits do I dial?

2       A.    Eleven.

3       Q.    And vice versa?

4       A.    Yes.

5       Q.    Now if I'm in Egyptian's Blair exchange again  
6       and I call a customer in Verizon's Carbondale  
7       exchange, how many digits do I dial?

8       A.    Eleven.

9       Q.    And vice versa?

10      A.    Uh-huh. To my knowledge it is, from Verizon to  
11      us.

12      Q.    Yeah, From Verizon.

13      A.    To my knowledge it is.

14      Q.    And, again, if I'm in Crossville's exchange and  
15      I call a customer in Egyptian's Blair exchange, how  
16      many digits do I dial?

17      A.    If you're calling from Blair to Crossville?

18      Q.    Let's do Crossville first. Do you know?

19      A.    I do not know.

20      Q.    Okay. How about from Blair to Crossville?

21      A.    Eleven.

22      Q.    How about from the Rice to the Oakdale

1 exchange?

2 A. No, that is seven digit.

3 Q. Okay. So in any of the contiguous Egyptian  
4 telephone exchanges, Rice, Oakdale, what is that?  
5 St. Libory and Venedy? Are those seven digits?

6 A. Yes, it's seven digit to adjoining exchanges.

7 Q. Okay.

8 Q. And to any of the other -- if I'm calling from,  
9 like I said, Oakdale or Rice to Blair, it would be  
10 1+10, and then same thing with respect to Glenn?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. Glenn anywhere out to an Egyptian territory or  
13 an Egyptian exchange would be 1+10.

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. Okay. On page 12, lines 272 to 273, you talk  
16 about community of interest. Do you see that?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. What do you mean by that term?

19 A. There's some interest between our exchanges and  
20 some of the other exchanges, basically to the west  
21 of them.

22 Q. Okay.



1       A.     For example, the Baldwin exchange being in the  
2       Red Bud school district is one of them.

3       Q.     In your opinion, is there a community of  
4       interest between the Blair and the Rice exchanges?

5       A.     Probably not a huge community of interest.

6       Q.     Okay.

7       A.     Although there is -- there would be some.

8       Q.     How about between the Rice and the Glenn  
9       exchanges?

10      A.     Same. There would be some, yes.

11      Q.     How about the Rice and the Dubois?

12      A.     I would also assume there is some.

13      Q.     And those are contiguous exchanges?

14      A.     Yes. However, Du Bois is not ours. I believe  
15      Du Bois is Citizens I believe.

16      Q.     Would you agree with me that there's a greater  
17      community of interest in contiguous exchanges?

18      A.     I believe for the most part.

19      Q.     Are any of Egyptian's exchanges in the St.  
20      Louis MSA?

21      A.     Yes.

22      Q.     Which ones?

1 A. Saint Libory, Venedy, Oakdale, and Rice.

2 MR. STANTON: Okay. No further questions.

3 Thank you.

4 CROSS EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. BUTTS:

6 Q. I have the same question I've asked everyone  
7 else. If the Commission were to adopt Alternative 6  
8 as a geographic split, would it cause any problem  
9 for your company to move the Carlyle exchange into  
10 Area A?

11 A. No, it would not.

12 MR. BUTTS: Thank you.

13 JUDGE ALBERS: Any others? Okay.

14 EXAMINATION

15 BY JUDGE ALBERS:

16 Q. I think you're actually pretty thorough in your  
17 testimony. Overlay is your first choice,  
18 Alternative 6 is your second choice, as long as the  
19 west side keeps 618.

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And third choice 7, 11, or 13?

22 A. Preferably 11 or 13. 7 less so.

1 Q. For purposes of basing a decision, should I  
2 take 7 off if it came down to that as a third  
3 choice?

4 A. No, you could leave that on.

5 Q. Okay. Is there any particular one that you  
6 oppose?

7 A. Yes, No. 8.

8 Q. Okay.

9 A. And the reason is it splits -- it breaks our  
10 St. Libory exchange off from the rest.

11 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Any redirect?

12 MR. MURPHY: A couple questions.

13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. MURPHY:

15 Q. Just to be clear, when you say it breaks your  
16 St. Libory exchange off from the rest, do you mean  
17 it breaks it from other contiguous exchanges?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. Yes, from the Venedy exchange in particular.

21 Q. And I want to return to the community of  
22 interest issue. Would you say that the community of

1 interest between Baldwin and Red Bud is strong,  
2 weak, or how would you characterize that community  
3 of interest?

4 A. I would say strong.

5 Q. Also, when talking about -- in response to  
6 Mr. Stanton's questions about your community of  
7 interest with the St. Louis metro area, would it be  
8 true to say that there are a lot of people in your  
9 co-op exchanges who work or have relatives who work  
10 in the St. Louis metro area?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Can you identify any central job centers, and  
13 by that I mean places where there are a lot of  
14 people from your exchanges who live in your  
15 exchanges and work someplace else?

16 A. Yeah. There is an air force base located in  
17 the Mascoutah area, and there are several workers  
18 from that area that do live in our exchanges.

19 MR. MURPHY: No further questions.

20 JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you, sir.

21 THE WITNESS: Thanks.

22 (Witness excused.)

1           MR. MURPHY: On behalf of Madison Telephone  
2 Company we're calling Michael Guffy.

3                   MICHAEL GUFFY  
4 called as a witness on behalf of Madison Telephone  
5 Company, having been first duly sworn, was examined  
6 and testified as follows:

7                   DIRECT EXAMINATION

8           BY MR. MURPHY:

9           Q. Mr. Guffy, would you please state your name,  
10 spell it for the record, and give your business  
11 title and business address?

12                   THE WITNESS:

13           A. Yes. Michael Guffy, G, as in green, U-F-F-Y.  
14 Business address is 118 East State Street, Hamel,  
15 Illinois 62046, and I am the Director of Regulatory  
16 Affairs for Madison Telephone.

17           Q. You should have in front of you a document  
18 that's marked Madison Telephone Company Exhibit 1  
19 consisting of nine pages of questions and answers  
20 and ends on line 192. Do you have that document?

21           A. Yes, I do.

22           Q. Is this the direct testimony that you're filing

1 in this case?

2 A. Yes, it is.

3 Q. Was it prepared by you or under your direction?

4 A. Yes, it was.

5 Q. And would you agree with me that it was filed  
6 on the e-Docket under Receipt No. 19980?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And if I were to ask you these questions here  
9 today, would these be your answers?

10 A. Yes, they would.

11 Q. Do you have any corrections?

12 A. None.

13 MR. MURPHY: With that, I would request the  
14 admission of Madison Telephone Company Exhibit  
15 Number 1 and tender the witness for  
16 cross-examination.

17 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objection? Hearing none,  
18 then the exhibit is admitted.

19 (Whereupon Madison Telephone Company  
20 Exhibit 1 was received into evidence.)

21 JUDGE ALBERS: Does anyone have any questions  
22 for Mr. Guffy?

1 CROSS EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. BUTTS:

3 Q. I have my one question, Mr. Guffy. Would it  
4 cause any problems for your company if the  
5 Commission were to adopt Alternative 6 if the  
6 Carlyle exchange were moved into Area A?

7 A. No, it would not, Mr. Butts, and, in fact, we  
8 would welcome that.

9 MR. BUTTS: Thank you.

10 JUDGE ALBERS: Any others?

11 MR. MOORE: Briefly.

12 CROSS EXAMINATION

13 BY MR. MOORE:

14 Q. My question, is it possible to dial toll calls  
15 from your company with just seven digits?

16 A. Yes, it is.

17 Q. Okay, and is that the entire 618 area code one  
18 can dial?

19 A. Yes, it is.

20 MR. MOORE: No other questions.

21 JUDGE ALBERS: Any others? I've got a few.

22 Let me start with something to follow up

1 Mr. Butts's.

2 EXAMINATION

3 BY JUDGE ALBERS:

4 Q. Why would you prefer that Carlyle be moved?

5 A. It's kind of a resort community, lake area, and  
6 somewhat of a community of interest to our residents  
7 and customers.

8 Q. Okay. If a split which requires the East St.  
9 Louis area to change its area code is selected,  
10 would you prefer an overlay?

11 A. No, I would not.

12 Q. Okay. And so Alternative 6 remains your first  
13 choice. Do you have a second choice?

14 A. Eleven.

15 Q. With the west side keeping 618?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Third choice?

18 A. I don't have a third choice.

19 Q. Are there any that you oppose?

20 A. Yes. I oppose 8, and I didn't realize this  
21 initially, but when I looked at the map, if you look  
22 at it closely, I've got a black and white copy,



1       unfortunately, Area A is shown in a section that  
2       actually turns out to be Area B, so it's a bit  
3       confusing. Secondly, that would split a host/remote  
4       exchange of ours of Staunton and Livingston, which  
5       is a local call, so people would be dialing  
6       presumably a different area code to complete a local  
7       call, so that would be disruptive, obviously, to our  
8       customer base.

9       Q.    Any others you oppose?

10      A.    No.    Just a general comment I guess.    I oppose  
11      the fact that we're even here because we have two  
12      CLECs that are out getting virtual NXXs, and I  
13      believe the solution to all of this is that the  
14      Commission direct those CLECs to remand or turn in  
15      their NXXs.

16            I think Mr. Harvey Nelson was in attendance  
17      down at the meetings in Alton, and they were very  
18      heated debates over this, and, in fact, one of the  
19      CLECs present there indicated they were just simply  
20      using one phone number I believe.    So I believe that  
21      that is the correct direction that this Commission  
22      should take rather than split or overlay, etc.

1 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.

2 Did you have any redirect?

3 MR. MURPHY: No.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.

5 (Witness excused.)

6 I think all we have left then is Staff. Is  
7 that correct?

8 MR. STANTON: Uh-huh.

9 **HARVEY G. NELSON**

10 called as a witness on behalf of the Staff of the  
11 Illinois Commerce Commission, having been first duly  
12 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

13 DIRECT EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. STANTON:

15 Q. Would you kindly state your name and business  
16 address?

17 THE WITNESS:

18 A. Okay. I'm Harvey Nelson. I'm employed by the  
19 Illinois Commerce Commission. My business address  
20 is 527 East Capitol, Springfield, Illinois.

21 Q. Do you have before you a document which has  
22 been marked for purposes of identification as ICC

1 Staff Exhibit 3.00 consisting of seven pages of  
2 narrative testimony in question and answer format  
3 titled Additional Direct Testimony of Harvey Nelson?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Was this testimony drafted by you or under your  
6 direction and supervision?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Are there any additions, modifications, or  
9 corrections you wish to make to this testimony?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Now if I were to ask you today exactly the same  
12 questions as set forth in your narrative testimony,  
13 would your answers be the same?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Is it your intent that this be your sworn  
16 direct testimony in this proceeding?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Do you also have before you a document which  
19 has been marked for purposes of identification as  
20 ICC Staff Exhibit 4.00 consisting of three pages of  
21 narrative testimony in question and answer format  
22 entitled Supplemental Direct Testimony of Harvey

1 Nelson?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And was this testimony drafted by you or under  
4 your direction or supervision?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Are there any additions, modifications, or  
7 corrections you wish to make to this testimony at  
8 this time?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Could you explain those changes?

11 A. Yes. On page 2, line 36, the testimony shows a  
12 figure for the population of Area B as 593,130.  
13 That number should be corrected to show 581,526  
14 because I inadvertently included Calhoun County in  
15 my initial calculation.

16 Moving to line 37, my answer there indicates  
17 that there are 13,944 non-farm businesses in Area B.  
18 Because of an error in calculating that figure, I  
19 had shown Richland County as 590, and I understand  
20 it should be shown as 509, so to adjust that number,  
21 the new number is 13,863.

22 Now after all those changes, there has to be a

1 change on line 38 showing the increase in population  
2 for Area B. The testimony shows it to be .33  
3 percent. After making the adjustments, because of  
4 my including and not including certain counties, the  
5 number should be .18 percent, 18 percent.

6 Q. You mean .18 percent, right?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. Not 18 percent.

9 A. I'm sorry.

10 Q. Now if I were to ask you today exactly the same  
11 questions as set forth in your narrative testimony,  
12 including the changes you've just made, would your  
13 answers be the same?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Now is it your intent that this be your sworn  
16 direct testimony in this proceeding?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Now I understand there has been some confusion  
19 regarding which counties were included in Areas A  
20 and B, and just for purposes of clarifying the  
21 record, would you please identify which counties you  
22 included in Area A and then which counties you

1 included in Area B?

2 A. Okay. The counties included in Area A are:

3 Washington, Madison, St. Clair, Monroe, and Clinton.

4 The counties in Area B are: Bond, Randolph, Perry,

5 Jackson, Union, Alexander, Pulaski, Massac, Johnson,

6 Pope, Hardin, Williamson, Saline, Gallatin,

7 Franklin, Hamilton, White, Jefferson, Wayne,

8 Edwards, Wabash, Marion, Clay, Richland, Lawrence,

9 Fayette, Jasper, and Crawford.

10 MR. STANTON: Thank you, Mr. Nelson.

11 At this time I'd ask that the Additional Direct  
12 Testimony of Harvey Nelson previously marked Staff  
13 Exhibit 3.00 and the Supplemental Direct Testimony  
14 of Harvey Nelson previously marked Staff Exhibit  
15 4.00 be admitted into evidence, and I tender the  
16 witness for cross-examination.

17 JUDGE ALBERS: Have you given a Staff Exhibit  
18 4.00 Revised to the Court Reporter?

19 MR. STANTON: I'm sorry. I can write revised  
20 on it.

21 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. She's got it though?

22 MR. STANTON: Yes.

1 JUDGE ALBERS: Any objections to either of  
2 these? Hearing none, then they are both admitted.

3 (Whereupon ICC Staff Exhibits 3.00 and  
4 4.00 Revised were received into  
5 evidence.)

6 JUDGE ALBERS: And who would like to -- go  
7 ahead.

8 CROSS EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. MOORE:

10 Q. Mr. Nelson, I'm Steve Moore.

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. Through the changes you just made, just so I'm  
13 clear, when you took out Calhoun County from Area B,  
14 you double counted it? I mean you didn't need to  
15 make an adjustment to Area A, did you?

16 A. Calhoun County is not included in my study.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. So it's not in A.

19 Q. Okay. Now the percentage of population  
20 increases that you show in Area A and B on page 2 of  
21 your Staff Exhibit 4, over what period of time is  
22 that population growth?

1       A.     It's between 1990 and 2000, over a ten- year  
2       period.   Now that figure is per county.   It's an  
3       average.

4       Q.     Is it weighted?

5       A.     What do you mean by weighted.

6       Q.     Weighted by the fact that -- I mean some of the  
7       counties are larger than others, and if one county  
8       is very large, I would think that would have an  
9       overwhelming impact on the number.

10      A.     All right.   No.   How I calculated it was I took  
11     all the increases, added them up, took all the  
12     decreases, because some counties, especially in Area  
13     B, show decreases in population, deducted that.  
14     That came to a number that I divided by the number  
15     of counties in the areas.   So the figure of .18  
16     percent is the average percent of increase for each  
17     -- for the counties in Area B.   So there will be  
18     some counties that I have larger percentages of  
19     increase such as Jackson County, and then there will  
20     be some counties that have minus increases in  
21     population.

22      Q.     Okay.   Now going back to your Staff Exhibit 3,



1       on page 6 of that you indicate that the -- on lines  
2       126 and 127 that the projected life expectancy of  
3       Area A is twelve years and B is eleven years. Do  
4       you know how those figures would reconcile with the  
5       fairly large difference in population growth?

6       A.     Those figures are calculated by NANPA, and  
7       you'd have to ask them how they determined those  
8       percentages, life expectancies. I accepted them, in  
9       other words.

10      Q.     Okay. If the Commission decides to base --  
11      assuming, first of all, that the Commission decides  
12      to do a split and it then decides on which side of  
13      the line to retain the area code, and it decides  
14      that it should base it upon which area is expected  
15      to exhaust its numbers sooner, what would you  
16      recommend that it look to be able to retain? The  
17      one that is going to exhaust sooner or the one that  
18      is going to exhaust later?

19      A.     I guess I have a problem with that because in  
20      the geographic split we're picking a point in time,  
21      and really, you know, this is when the split begins,  
22      and really I don't see any correlation between the

1       life expectancies of these.

2       Q.    I'd like you to assume hypothetically that the  
3       Commission decides that, based upon the evidence it  
4       sees before it, Area A will exhaust sooner than Area  
5       B.    Now given that hypothetical, and assuming that  
6       the Commission decides that exhaustion is a good  
7       criteria to decide which side retains the old  
8       number, what would you recommend?

9       A.    Well, here's my problem again.   In those  
10      figures of twelve years and eleven years, it appears  
11      that NANPA is saying that if we do this geographic  
12      split, okay, which means if we do the geographic  
13      split, it means that everybody will have gone  
14      through the pain and agony of having to change their  
15      telephone numbers, so it's not going to make any  
16      difference on whether -- you know, how long it lives  
17      to when they have to change their telephone numbers.

18      Q.    I'd like you to assume that ten years from now,  
19      based on the numbers, Area A is coming in to the  
20      Commission for a new split or maybe an overlay.   We  
21      get to do this fun again.

22      A.    Okay.

1 Q. Would you recommend that the number coming in  
2 be the number that's retained, 618, or would it just  
3 be a totally new number?

4 A. I think we would have to consider the situation  
5 at that time because maybe an overlay at that time  
6 might be a better alternative than a geographic  
7 split, as an example. So to forecast what's going  
8 to happen ten years from now, who knows. The world  
9 will be different I'm sure.

10 MR. MOORE: Okay. I have no other questions.

11 MR. BUTTS: I just had one. I guess I have to  
12 ask two questions to get to it.

13 CROSS EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. BUTTS:

15 Q. You recommend Alternative 12 as the preferred  
16 split alternative, as I understand your testimony?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. A number of the parties here have recommended  
19 Alternative 6. If the Commission were to adopt  
20 Alternative 6, would Staff see any problem or have  
21 any problem with moving the Carlyle exchange into  
22 the access Area A portion?

1           A.     No.

2                   MR. BUTTS:   Thank you.

3                   MR. MURPHY:   I have one question.

4                                   CROSS EXAMINATION

5                   BY MR. MURPHY:

6           Q.     Is the basis for your recommendation of 12 over  
7                   6 or the revised 6 anything other than the balance  
8                   of lives?

9           A.     I believe I have given my reasons in my  
10                  testimony, and one of the reasons is the balance of  
11                  lives, yes.  So there are other reasons.  They are  
12                  shown in my testimony.

13                  MR. MURPHY:   Okay.  No further questions.

14                  MR. FODOR:   Are you ready?

15                  Mr. Nelson, it's going to be hard not to call  
16                  you Harvey because we talk all the time.  Your  
17                  Honor, would you mind if I slip and do that?

18                  JUDGE ALBERS:  Terribly.

19                  MR. FODOR:   I'm going to try and call you  
20                  Mr. Nelson.

21                  JUDGE ALBERS:  Hold you in contempt.

22

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. FODOR:

Q. Mr. Nelson, I think, before I get on the things that I had prepared, you just indicated that Calhoun County is not in Area A?

A. No. I didn't say that. I said it's not in Area B.

Q. Did you include Calhoun County in your Area A --

A. In Area B. It was in Area B.

Q. Okay. When you made your correction removing it from B, did you look over to Area A to see if it was there?

A. No, because it does not meet my criteria of my study.

Q. And your criteria is?

A. Majority of the territory of a county is the basis upon which I chose the counties.

MR. FODOR: Okay. Again, Your Honor, I would really hate to try to put this in the record. I believe Harvey has seen this many times in the past. The Commission from the time that I became a lawyer

1       and started working here sold these things. This is  
2       a map showing -- entitled Illinois Commerce  
3       Commission Exchange Boundary Map of All Telephone  
4       Companies in the State of Illinois. It has a  
5       plastic -- I hate to use the word overlay, but it  
6       has a plastic sheet of paper over the top of -- a  
7       plastic sheet that shows the county.

8               JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

9               MR. FODOR: I'd like to hand it to Mr. Nelson,  
10       if there's no objection from his attorney or from  
11       Your Honor, and I'd like to ask him if a majority of  
12       Calhoun County appears to be in the 618, and we may  
13       have to do some comparison with other maps to see  
14       where the 217 and 618 boundary line is.

15              Your Honor, do you mind if I approach the  
16       witness to assist in this process?

17              JUDGE ALBERS: Sure.

18              MR. FODOR:

19       Q.     You can look at your other map if you need to.  
20       I've attempted to draw the 217 boundary line, and it  
21       appears to me that the 217 -- or excuse me -- the  
22       618 area code includes all of Calhoun County.

1 A. Uh-huh.

2 Q. Except a small piece of the Verizon Pleasant  
3 Hill exchange.

4 A. Uh-huh.

5 Q. Is that correct?

6 A. That's your -- let me ask you a question. Were  
7 you the one that drew that red line that's on the  
8 map?

9 Q. I drew the red line, and if you'd like to check  
10 it, please.

11 A. Well, how did you determine that?

12 Q. By looking at the maps that we have in this  
13 docket that show where the 217 and the 618 area code  
14 line are.

15 A. Oh, okay. Because when I look at these maps  
16 that are in the docket, it's my judgment that the  
17 majority of Calhoun is not in this.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. In Area A.

20 Q. Okay.

21 A. And I guess I'm worried because you're using an  
22 overlay which is very easily able to be slipped, you

1 know.

2 Q. Okay. I didn't want to confuse anybody.

3 A. And, also, this overlay was drawn in November  
4 of 1979, and, you know, there could be changes.

5 Q. Is there any other way we can figure out  
6 whether Calhoun County is in 217 or 618?

7 A. Well, like I said, a portion of it is in 618.

8 Q. I guess as long as we're here, does Jersey  
9 County appear to be in the 618 area code completely?

10 A. Again, did you --

11 Q. I drew the red line.

12 A. Yes, it does. Again, let's have the record  
13 straight. I did not use your overlay.

14 Q. I know.

15 A. Which is subject to changes. I used the maps  
16 that were provided in this docket.

17 Q. All right. Well, I'm going to switch gears and  
18 look at them then.

19 A. Okay.

20 Q. I'm looking at Map 12. Have you got that?

21 A. Yep.

22 Q. Do you see the indication on Map 12 in the very



1 top left-hand corner the word Greene?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Do you think that means Greene County?

4 A. I don't know what it means.

5 Q. Would it help you to look at a state road map  
6 to see where the counties are?

7 A. No, because I didn't use the state road map,  
8 and I don't think that would be a fair comparison.

9 Q. So would it be fair to say that if, in fact,  
10 all of Jersey County and most of Calhoun County are  
11 in the 618 area, that they would be in the Area A  
12 portion thereof?

13 A. Well, they are shown on this map as being in  
14 Area A, yes.

15 Q. Okay. And if I'm correct that they are all or  
16 mostly within Area A, wouldn't your numbers need to  
17 be adjusted to show a greater population and a  
18 greater number of businesses in Area A?

19 A. Well, you'll have to define what you mean by  
20 more and such.

21 Q. Okay. Are there businesses in Calhoun County  
22 that you did not count as part of your business

1 numbers for Area A?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Are there residences, households -- well, you  
4 didn't do households. I'm sorry. Are there people,  
5 population, in Calhoun County that you did not  
6 include --

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Are there businesses in Jersey County that you  
9 did not include in your calculation of Area A?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Are there people, populations?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. You did not include in Area A?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Now, I understand your criteria to be a  
16 majority of the county has to be in the --

17 A. That's right.

18 Q. -- in the area to be counted.

19 A. Right.

20 Q. And for that reason you excluded Macoupin?

21 A. That's right.

22 Q. And you excluded Effingham?

1 A. Right.

2 Q. Were you in the room when Mr. Petrouske was  
3 testifying?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Did you hear his explanation of how he used the  
6 census data to determine which percentages of  
7 Macoupin County and Effingham County to include in  
8 his calculation?

9 A. I heard him, yes.

10 Q. Did they sound reasonable to you?

11 A. I feel that is one study, and I have one study,  
12 so, you know.

13 Q. Okay. If Mr. Petrouske's numbers are right,  
14 and we added them to your Map 12 analysis, because  
15 his analysis was only as to Map 6.

16 A. Okay.

17 Q. Would it increase the number of businesses in  
18 Area A because of including businesses from Macoupin  
19 County?

20 A. Now you're asking me if I adopt his analysis,  
21 and as a result that I would use his numbers.

22 Q. I'm saying if the Commission adopted it.

1 A. Oh, the Commission adopted it.

2 Q. If the Commission adopted his analysis and  
3 tried to apply it to your Map 12 analysis.

4 A. Okay. It would appear, yes, there would be  
5 more, based on his study, yes.

6 Q. So to ask the same two questions about Macoupin  
7 that I asked about Calhoun and Jersey, are there  
8 not, in fact, people in Macoupin County that you did  
9 not count?

10 A. That's right, because they don't meet the  
11 criteria.

12 Q. I understand. Are there, in fact, businesses  
13 in Macoupin County that you did not include in your  
14 --

15 A. Because they don't meet my criteria.

16 Q. Okay. This is going to be fun. We're going to  
17 keep going.

18 Do you have Map 12 in front of you?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Are there people and businesses in Bond County  
21 that you didn't include in Area A?

22 A. In Area A? Yes, because the majority of them

1 don't reside in Area A.

2 Q. Okay. Now you say that you did include  
3 Washington and Clinton Counties.

4 A. Correct, because in my judgment the majority of  
5 the area is in Area A.

6 Q. Do you have with you your workpapers?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Oh, I guess before I get to the workpapers I've  
9 got one more question. Are there people and  
10 businesses in Randolph County that you did not  
11 include in your calculations for Area A that are  
12 within Area A? Looking back at the map. I'm sorry.  
13 I apologize.

14 MR. SMITH: This is No. 12, right?

15 MR. FODOR: Yes.

16 A. They have been included in Area B because in my  
17 judgment the majority of the county resides in Area  
18 B.

19 Q. Oh. Okay. So what you're saying is there are  
20 people and businesses that are actually on the Area  
21 A side of the line that are being counted in Area B  
22 calculations.

1 A. Uh-huh.

2 Q. And the same thing with Bond County.

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And I guess the converse would be true with  
5 respect to Clinton and Washington. We've got people  
6 on the Area B side that are being counted in the  
7 Area A side.

8 A. So one can say it balances itself. You give  
9 and you take and you get a number.

10 MR. FODOR: Okay. That being said, Mr. Nelson,  
11 I think I won't make you go through your workpapers.  
12 I thank you for your time.

13 JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.

14 Anyone else? No? I have a few questions then  
15 for you, Mr. Nelson.

16 EXAMINATION

17 BY JUDGE ALBERS:

18 Q. When you determined which side of the  
19 demarcation line to include in a particular county's  
20 population, you mainly looked at where the majority  
21 of that county existed?

22 A. Yes. What I did is I took the map identified

1 as Alternative 12 that was prepared by NeuStar. I  
2 looked at it and in my judgment determined which  
3 counties had the majority of the areas lying in Area  
4 A and Area B. Those counties in my judgment that  
5 did not have the majority of the areas were not  
6 included in those areas.

7 Q. Okay. The last time we heard testimony in this  
8 docket you didn't want to recommend an overlay over  
9 a split or vice versa. Do you recall that?

10 A. I believe I suggested, yes.

11 Q. And you suggested an overlay or Alternative 12.

12 A. Right.

13 Q. And you still feel the same way as far as one  
14 or the other?

15 A. I favor an all services distributed overlay.

16 Q. As a first choice?

17 A. That's my primary choice.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. My second choice is Alternative 12. My third  
20 choice is Alternative 6, and the choice that I don't  
21 favor at all is Alternative 8 because of the  
22 disparity in the forecasted lives of the Areas A and

1 B in 8 as prepared by NANPA.

2 Q. Okay. Going back to your third choice, the  
3 west side would keep 618?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. Can you tell me whether most of the  
6 rural customers in the 618 NPA already have  
7 ten-digit dialing when making interexchange calls  
8 within the 618 NPA?

9 A. That is a very confusing subject, as we've  
10 heard today from the various people that testified,  
11 so I really can't give you a definite yes or no on  
12 that. I think today, from hearing from our  
13 witnesses today, we find that some have seven, some  
14 have ten, some have eleven, and I'm still confused.

15 Q. Why do you think it is that way? That there  
16 are different ways of doing it?

17 A. I suspect it's -- well, it's from a technical  
18 point of view where they set up their switches, and  
19 I guess their switches were set up based upon the  
20 type of service areas they serve. As an example, we  
21 heard today Home Telephone Company has one exchange,  
22 so the people are able to contact each other by



1 dialing seven. Other companies might have exchanges  
2 which require -- in the processing of calls that  
3 require their switches to use ten digits or 1+ as a  
4 toll indicator. So I think it gets to be kind of a  
5 technical issue. It might even be a marketing  
6 issue. I guess it really depends upon the  
7 individual carriers.

8 Q. Okay. And if an overlay is chosen, just so I'm  
9 clear, when would customers have to dial a 1 before  
10 the area code?

11 A. This is an interesting -- how do I say it? --  
12 challenge. The FCC in their rulings require  
13 ten-digit dialing when an overlay is put into  
14 effect. There have been situations, such as in the  
15 Chicago area, it's my understanding that for  
16 technical reasons the carrier out there has to have  
17 1+10, but that is not an FCC mandate. So for us to  
18 comply with the FCC mandate let's say in the 618  
19 area, all the carriers would have to do would be  
20 provide ten-digit dialing. Then the 1+ then could  
21 continue to be used as a toll indicator.

22 Q. In your calculations you didn't consider farm

1 businesses. Is that correct?

2 A. No, I didn't.

3 Q. Is there any particular reason?

4 A. The data that I used, which was the U.S. Census  
5 data, only indicated non-farm businesses.

6 Q. Okay.

7 And if a geographic split is chosen, what, if  
8 anything, prevents a customer in the area to receive  
9 the new area code from keeping the same seven-digit  
10 number they have now and just getting a new area  
11 code?

12 A. I really don't see any reason why that couldn't  
13 happen, unless there are some administrative  
14 problems with the NANPA, which is the North America  
15 Number Plan Administrator, since they are the ones  
16 that assign the NXX codes, but I don't see that --  
17 you know, if the carriers are working with NANPA, I  
18 don't see that as being a problem. It's not saying  
19 it couldn't be.

20 Q. You're not certain one way or the other whether  
21 it would work?

22 A. Oh, it would work, yes, because they would be

1 getting a new NXX code and a new area code, so we're  
2 starting all over again.

3 Q. But there may be other administrative problems  
4 associated with doing it that way?

5 A. The only thing that I could think of is the  
6 carrier requesting that specific NXX code that  
7 contains that telephone number and whether or not  
8 that specific NXX code is available from the number  
9 administrator.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. If that code is not available, then they can't  
12 give them their same seven-digit number.

13 JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Thank you.

14 Do you have any redirect?

15 MR. SMITH: I had just -- excuse me. I had  
16 just one clarifying question, at the risk of  
17 redundancy.

18 CROSS EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. SMITH:

20 Q. Turning to Map 12, Mr. Nelson, I just want to  
21 make sure I understand your testimony. For those  
22 counties, Clinton, Washington, Randolph, and Bond,

1       when you were looking at the line and you made some  
2       rounding, and that is to say you put them on one  
3       side, either A or B, you were looking and making  
4       your estimate on the geographic portion of the  
5       county. Is that correct?

6       A.     Correct.

7       Q.     You didn't do any weighting such as where the  
8       county seat was or where --

9       A.     No.

10       MR. SMITH:   Okay.   That's all.

11       MR. FODOR:   And, Your Honor, before counsel  
12       goes to redirect, the number that I wrote down in my  
13       testimony that he was revising and the numbers that  
14       I had in my notes now appear different. Can I have  
15       someone clarify for me the revised number that goes  
16       on line 36? Because if it's --

17       JUDGE ALBERS:   Mr. Nelson, can you help him  
18       with that?

19       MR. FODOR:   I just want to make sure that it  
20       matches with the discovery that I was provided. On  
21       page 2 of your supplemental --

22       THE WITNESS:   Wait a minute. It's on the

1 floor.

2 MR. FODOR: Page 2 of your supplemental you  
3 revised a number.

4 MR. MOORE: Here's what I've got.

5 MR. FODOR: That's what I thought he said, but  
6 that doesn't square.

7 THE WITNESS: It will not -- which page? Line  
8 36?

9 MR. FODOR: Page 2, line 36.

10 THE WITNESS: Okay. Right. Since --

11 MR. FODOR: Number did you say?

12 THE WITNESS: I said 581,526.

13 MR. FODOR: 526. Okay.

14 THE WITNESS: And that's the result because I  
15 had put Calhoun in the wrong spot.

16 MR. FODOR: Okay. May I -- the discovery  
17 appears to have a different number. May I have two  
18 more questions, please?

19 JUDGE ALBERS: I guess if we're trying to  
20 clarify something, go ahead.

21

22

1 CROSS EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. FODOR:

3 Q. Are you familiar with the fact that I sent data  
4 requests to your counsel?

5 A. Yes, yes.

6 Q. And you've responded with your workpapers.

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. If I showed you a document, would you recognize  
9 whether or not it's your workpaper?

10 A. Sure.

11 MR. STANTON: Mr. Fodor, I could probably  
12 short-circuit this. We did this this morning.

13 MR. FODOR: Okay.

14 MR. STANTON: We made the changes this morning.  
15 The error was identified this morning. That's why  
16 it wouldn't be on any workpapers that you have.

17 MR. FODOR: No, no, that's not the point.

18 MR. STANTON: Okay.

19 MR. FODOR: I think when you worked this  
20 morning, you may have worked off of the wrong  
21 number.

22 MR. STANTON: Okay. Go ahead.

1 MR. FODOR:

2 Q. The workpaper that I'm handing you is what was  
3 provided to me by your counsel. Does this look like  
4 the workpaper that you used?

5 A. Okay.

6 Q. And we're referring, again, not to the non-farm  
7 businesses, but just to the population numbers.

8 A. Okay. Okay.

9 Q. I'm looking specifically at Area B.

10 A. Uh-huh.

11 Q. And the number that you start with on the  
12 workpaper is the number that I saw in your original  
13 testimony.

14 A. Okay.

15 Q. Your workpaper shows that you subtracted out  
16 Macoupon.

17 A. Right.

18 Q. That you subtracted out Washington.

19 A. Right.

20 Q. That you subtracted out Effingham.

21 A. Right.

22 Q. And then this morning you were going to make

1       that subtraction out for Calhoun.

2       A.     Right.

3       Q.     But the number that you revised your number  
4       with is larger than the bottom line number in the  
5       workpaper. And I don't wish to make anybody late  
6       getting back to their spouses.

7       A.     I feel that the number that I have provided  
8       today in my -- that I revised today is the proper  
9       number, and obviously there must have been something  
10      wrong in that workpaper, and it was not done  
11      intentionally to confuse the counselor, and let the  
12      record show that even with these corrections, it  
13      does not change my recommendation for the population  
14      that Area A should retain the 618 area code  
15      designation because there are still more people in  
16      Area A than in Area B.

17      Q.     Okay.

18      A.     So my conclusions, even though we have a  
19      disagreement as to the numbers, does not change, and  
20      let me emphasize, counselor, I am supporting you and  
21      your three companies in the designation of 618 to be  
22      retained in Area A if the Commission should choose



1 my Alternative 12.

2 MR. FODOR: Thank you.

3 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

4 MR. MURPHY: I have some follow-up to your line  
5 of questioning, about seven-digit dialing, if I may.

6 JUDGE ALBERS: Normally I don't like getting  
7 into this. Any objection from --

8 MR. STANTON: Go ahead.

9 CROSS EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. MURPHY:

11 Q. The Judge asked you about people getting  
12 seven-digit number changes.

13 A. Okay.

14 Q. I want to clarify the Commission's position on  
15 the one hand.

16 A. Okay.

17 Q. And then ask another question on the other. On  
18 the wireline, for wireline customers, it is my  
19 understanding that the FCC now has rules about how  
20 numbers can be assigned.

21 A. Okay.

22 Q. Is that correct?

1 A. Right.

2 Q. Is that your understanding?

3 A. Right.

4 Q. And as a general characterization, is it fair  
5 to say that only 1/1000 block can be assigned at a  
6 time? A single thousandths block can be assigned at  
7 a time. Is that your understanding of the FCC  
8 rules?

9 A. In non -- explain that to me.

10 Q. Okay. If I get a new NPA.

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. And a new NXX.

13 A. Okay.

14 Q. Under the FCC rules.

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. I am suppose to assign numbers to customers out  
17 of a single thousandths block at a time.

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. Is that your understanding of the FCC rules?

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. Is it your understanding of the FCC rules? Yes  
22 or no?

1       A.    No, because I don't understand it.  If they are  
2       assigned a NXX code, okay, then they are able to  
3       assign the numbers out of the NXX code.

4       Q.    Any number out of the entire 10,000 number code  
5       in any order.  Is that your understanding?

6       A.    That's right.

7       Q.    Okay.  So it would be your understanding that  
8       if, because of an area code split, customers on the  
9       side of the split that gets the new area code could  
10      be given the same seven-digit number under the FCC  
11      rules.

12      A.    It's my understanding, yes.

13      Q.    Okay.  Bear with me for a second.  Assume,  
14      hypothetically, if you don't understand them this  
15      way, that the FCC rules require that numbers be  
16      assigned out of a single thousandths block at a  
17      time.

18      A.    Okay.

19      Q.    Even if that's the case, is it your  
20      understanding in a split situation customers could  
21      still get their same seven-digit number even if it  
22      did not meet that condition?

1 A. Hypothetically?

2 Q. (Nods head up and down.)

3 A. If it didn't meet that condition, then I would  
4 say NANPA could not assign them the numbers.

5 Q. Well, it wouldn't be NANPA assigning them. The  
6 phone company would get the entire ten thousandths  
7 block. The question is what the phone company's  
8 obligation is under the FCC rules.

9 A. Oh, now we're talking the phone company.

10 Q. The phone company has to assign line numbers to  
11 its customers.

12 A. Okay. All right.

13 Q. Assume with me for a second that the rule  
14 suggests or requires that customers be assigned line  
15 numbers out of a single thousandths block at a time.

16 A. Sequential numbering.

17 Q. Sequential numbering.

18 A. Okay. I will accept that hypothetically.

19 Q. If that is the FCC rule, is it your  
20 understanding that in the case of a split companies  
21 would not be tied to that rule, but would be allowed  
22 to give their customers the same seven-digit number

1 in the new area code even if it wasn't sequential?

2 MR. STANTON: You're asking for his  
3 understanding and not a legal --

4 MR. MURPHY: No, I'm asking -- I'm sorry.  
5 Finish your objection.

6 MR. STANTON: You're not asking his legal  
7 opinion based on, you know, your hypothetical  
8 interpretation of the FCC's rule, are you?

9 MR. MURPHY: Let me ask it this way.

10 MR. STANTON: Okay.

11 MR. MURPHY:

12 Q. Assuming my hypothetical, if that's right,  
13 would it be your recommendation that the Commission  
14 allow companies to assign the same seven- digit  
15 number even if it's out of sequence?

16 A. As long as that process does not violate the  
17 numbering assignment guidelines.

18 Q. So would --

19 A. So, in other words, I'm not trying to -- I am  
20 not going to recommend something to the Commission  
21 that violates state or federal rules.

22 Q. Okay. I'm going to switch gears here;

1        wireless.

2        A.     Okay.

3        Q.     Is it your understanding that wireless  
4        carriers, assuming a split, who are in the new area  
5        code are entitled to get the same NXXs as the ones  
6        they give up?

7        A.     Entitled.

8            MR. STANTON:   If you don't know, you don't  
9        know.

10       A.     Well, I have a problem with the word entitled.

11       Q.     Okay.   Let me state it this way, and let's just  
12       use a hypothetical number.   I think it will make it  
13       clearer.   Assume that a carrier who has got a rating  
14       point in Wabash County, since it's all the way on  
15       the east it's definitely going to be -- everybody is  
16       going to be on that side of the line.   A carrier,  
17       wireless carrier in Wabash County, a rating point in  
18       Wabash County has the prefix 618/222 today.

19       A.     Uh-huh.

20       Q.     A new area code is assigned to Area B.

21       A.     Uh-huh.

22       Q.     Can that wireless carrier demand from NANPA

1       that it be given new area code 222?

2       A.    I don't know.

3       Q.    You told the Administrative Law Judge that you  
4       understood customers could all have the same  
5       seven-digit numbers.

6       A.    That's my understanding, yes.

7       Q.    If wireless carriers cannot require NANPA to  
8       give them the same NXXs in the new area code, can  
9       they guarantee that their customers will keep the  
10      same seven-digit number?

11      A.    No.

12      Q.    Does that change your prior answer or clarify  
13      your prior answer to the Administrative Law Judge?

14      A.    All that you're saying is wireless.

15      Q.    Yes. All I'm saying is wireless.

16      A.    All right. We're not discussing wireline.

17      Q.    We're not discussing wireline.

18      A.    So there could be a difference. I don't know.

19      Q.    Well, let me ask it this way. Is it your  
20      understanding that wireline customers will receive  
21      the same seven-digit number in the new NPA that they  
22      had in the old NPA?

1       A.    As I indicated, if they requested it, it was my  
2       understanding that they could.

3       Q.    And is it your understanding that wireless  
4       customers could receive the same seven- digit number  
5       in the new NPA as they had in the old NPA?

6       A.    That would be my understanding, yes.

7       Q.    Okay.

8       A.    Now, you know, you can always ask for these  
9       numbers, and these would be requested -- these NXXs  
10      would be requested, you know, and you've mentioned  
11      the 1,000 blocks.  Maybe that customer has a number  
12      in that 1,000 block, so he would get the number.

13      Q.    But if you didn't have the number in the 1,000  
14      block, then he wouldn't get the number.

15      A.    That's what you're telling me, yes.

16      Q.    Well, I guess I'm asking you whether it's  
17      always the case that the customers get the same  
18      seven-digit number or is it contingent on something?

19      A.    It's contingent upon them requesting the  
20      number, the customer.

21      Q.    The customer requesting the number from their  
22      phone company.



1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Okay. And is it contingent on the phone  
3 company getting the same NXX in the new NPA that it  
4 had in the old?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. And does the carrier have any control over  
7 whether it gets the same NXX in the new NPA as it  
8 had in the old?

9 A. No.

10 MR. MURPHY: Thank you. No further questions.

11 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

12 MR. STANTON: Give me a couple minutes.

13 (Whereupon a short recess was taken.)

14 JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.

15 Did Staff have any redirect?

16 MR. STANTON: No, Staff has no redirect.

17 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.

18 (Witness excused.)

19 Then I believe the only thing else to take care  
20 of today is my list, my summary of people's  
21 positions, and to determine whether or not anyone  
22 wants to file briefs, and we'll go off the record to

1 discuss that.

2 (Whereupon at this point in the  
3 proceedings an off-the-record discussion  
4 transpired, during which ICC Staff  
5 Exhibit 4.0 Revised was physically marked  
6 for identification by the Court  
7 Reporter.)

8 JUDGE ALBERS: Back on the record.

9 Mr. Fodor has a strong desire to file a short  
10 brief on this matter by tomorrow. If anyone chooses  
11 to reply to that brief, they may do so by Friday,  
12 February 22nd.

13 Anything else?

14 MR. MURPHY: I have to file a late-filed  
15 exhibit, which I believe is two pages, and we would  
16 try to file it by tomorrow or Monday, but I don't  
17 need to comment on it.

18 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Thank you.

19 And then with that said, I'll just continue  
20 this generally since whatever option is selected by  
21 the Commission, we'll need to figure out an  
22 implementation schedule. So all right. Thank you.

(Whereupon the matter was continued  
generally.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22